

Author(s) retain the copyright of this article.

Full Length Research Paper

Effect of formal credit on the performance of the poultry industry: The case of urban and peri-urban Kumasi in the Ashanti Region

Fred Nimoh¹, Addo Kwasi¹ and Enoch Kwame Tham-Agyekum²*

¹Department of Agricultural Economics, Agribusiness and Extension, KNU. ST-Kumasi, Ghana. ²Department of Agricultural Extension, University of Ghana, Legon-Accra, Ghana.

Accepted 14 January, 2019

Farm net income was used as a measure to investigate the effect of formal credit on the performance of the poultry industry. Forty credit and non-credit users each were selected purposively for the study. Regression model was employed for the analysis. Results showed that there was a significant difference (t-value of 0.012 at 5%) between the net income of large poultry farmers who used credit and those who did not. This means that large-scale poultry farmers are likely to perform better than small-scale farmers when credit is made available to both groups. Therefore it is recommended that formal financial institutions should focus on giving loans to large-scale poultry farmers while not neglecting the needs of small-scale farmers.

Key words: Credit, poultry industry, peri-urban, urban, Kumasi.

INTRODUCTION

Livestock/poultry is one of the most important agricultural sectors serving as 'safety net' providing ready cash in emergency needs as well as an important source of protein for consumers. Its role in rural livelihoods and food security is enormous. In Ghana, it contributes 40% of the national animal protein supply and is able to produce enough poultry for self-sufficiency (FASDEP, 2002).

There are several challenges facing the poultry industry. According to Sakyi (2008) financial intermediaries are continually faced with challenges in providing financial services to agricultural sectors. Others include a high interrelated covariant risk which is often due to variable rainfall, pest and disease, price fluctuations, constrained smallholder access to inputs, advice and market, dispersed demand for financial services due to low densities and small size of individual transactions, high information/transaction costs of individual transactions due to remoteness of clients and heterogeneity among communities and these issues contribute to the reluctance of most farms (Wayo, 2002). Formal financial institutions to enter rural markets because of the high cost and risk of doing business in harsh economic and physical environments. In their absence informal financial institutions emerge, but typically they are able to offer a narrow range of financial services in a small geographic area (Mpuga, 2004).

There are evidences that in some African countries, some farmers do not utilize effectively the funds they receive for the intended purposes. Because of this, formal credit has seldom made marked contribution to economic development (FAO, 1995). Ghandi (1984) noted that despite the increasing amounts of formal loans and advances granted to the agricultural sector by banks and other formal institutions, there has been no corresponding impact on the agricultural sector. However, Hartmut et al. (1989) held a different view by reporting from their study that it is only when credit is used by farmers that that are in a position to take advantage of the production, without which it is impossible for them to change their methods of farming. The performance of the industry in Urban and Peri-urban Kumasi was measured based on the net profit obtained by the farmers as presented in their records in using formal credit in financing their farming operations

^{*}Corresponding author. E-mail: donsprakels@yahoo.com Tel: +233266699776.

Table 1. Major source of capital of the poultry farmers.

| Source of capital | | | Source from commercial bank | | |
|----------------------|-----------|---------|-----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Responses | Frequency | Percent | Responses | Frequency | Percent |
| Borrowing | 9 | 22.5 | Commercial banks | 21 | 52.5 |
| Savings | 28 | 70.0 | Rural banks | 12 | 30.0 |
| Gift and inheritance | 3 | 7.5 | Credit unions | 2 | 5.0 |
| - | - | - | Others | 5 | 12.5 |
| Total | 40 | 100.0 | Total | 40 | 100.0 |

Source: Field Survey, 2009.

Table 2. Requirements for formal credit from the farmers' point of view.

| ltem | Responses | | |
|---------------------------|-----------|------|--|
| | Yes | No | |
| Output | 100 | 0.0 | |
| Farm records | 90.0 | 10.0 | |
| Saving with the bank | 82.5 | 17.5 | |
| Collateral | 75.0 | 25.0 | |
| Guarantor | 60.0 | 40.0 | |
| Farming group | 57.5 | 42.5 | |
| Past repayment experience | 27.5 | 72.5 | |
| Character | 10.0 | 90.0 | |
| Place of residence | 10.0 | 90.0 | |

during the period 2003-2007. Important considerations of formal credit include amount obtained by the farmers, the requirements to obtain such credit and how the amount obtained should be utilized. The question that arise is 'has the formal financial credit accessed by the poultry farmers in the study area had a beneficial effect on the performance of the poultry industry?'

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The study area for this research was Urban and Peri-urban Kumasi. All poultry farmers in the study area comprised the population. Data were solicited from eighty (80) farmers by administering structured questionnaire. This consisted of two groups: 40 farmers who utilized formal credit in their operations and 40 farmers who did not use formal credit during the period 2003-2007. The simple stratified random sampling was carried out based on the groupings of poultry farms in Ghana divided into small-scale (less than 5,000 birds), medium-scale (between 5000 to 10000 birds) and large-scale (more than 10000 birds). The data collected from the respondents were analyzed using SPSS version 16.0. Descriptive statistics such as frequency distributions and percentages and inferential statistics that is chi-square test of independence were employed in analysing the data.

RESULTS

Sources of formal credit received

Table 1 shows that most of the farmers (52.5%)

accessed credits from commercial banks. A considerable number of the farmers (30%) also accessed loans from rural banks which are noted to extend banking activities to the doorsteps of people. The rest 12.5% was received from other sources such as ProCredit Savings and Loans Company Ltd., First Allied Savings and Loans Company Ltd., and Unique Trust Financial Services Ltd.

Requirements for formal credit

Farmers' and banks' perspective

All farmers (100%) reported that their annual output was a major determinant used in assessing their creditworthiness. Inspection of farm records (90%) was ranked second while a savings with the Bank (82.5%) was ranked third. Collateral (75%) and guarantor were ranked fourth and fifth in terms of such requirements (Table 2).

Utilization of credit by the poultry farmers

Table 3 reveals that 81% of the total amount of the formal credit accessed was used in purchasing feed. Twelve percent of the total income was used to buy birds and 7% was utilized to purchase vaccines, equipment, land and maintenance costs.

Table 3. Utilization of total amount of credit received.

| Uses | Percent | |
|--------|---------|--|
| Birds | 12.0 | |
| Feed | 81.0 | |
| Others | 7.0 | |
| Total | 100.0 | |

Source: Field survey, 2009.

Table 4. Estimated models for the effect of formal credit on net income of small and large scale poultry farmers.

| Variable | Small scale | | Large scale | |
|------------------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------|
| Constant | -1107.788 | (-0.8329) | -1062.053 | (-0.0759) |
| Education | 39.8524 | (0.6984) | 287.0146 | (0.2997) |
| Experience | 136.7454 | (2.1602) | 23.7544 | (0.0346) |
| Birds | 1.05636 | (6.4313) | 1.1964 | (2.9269) |
| Credit | 0.3842 | (0.9920) | 5.0858 | (3.1642) |
| Cost | -0.7719 | (-1.0387) | -14.3928 [*] | (4.2612) |
| BJ (2) | 0.6793 | (0.7120) | 2.0724 | (0.3548) |
| White Test, p-value | 7.7318 | (0.6550) | 16.6515 | (0.0983) |
| JB(normality), p-value | 0.0329 | (0.9836) | 3.5605 | (0.1686) |
| DW | 2.3569 | | 2.3982 | |
| Co. of Det (R ²) | 0.8070 | | 0.8196 | |
| Adj. R ² | 0.7380 | | 0.7552 | |
| F- statistic, p-value | 11.70535 | (0.0001) | 12.71987 | (0.0001) |

^{*,} significant at 1%; ^{**}significant at 5.

Effect of formal credit on the net income of farmers

Estimated model for both small and large scale poultry farmers who use formal credit

Table 4 reveals the results of the estimated model for large- scale poultry farmers who used credit. It shows that the probability values of the number of years of education (0.77) and that of the experience of respondents (0.97) are insignificant even at 10%; hence the null hypotheses are accepted. However, the probability value of the number of birds raised by the poultry farmers (0.01), amount of credit received (0.01) and the cost of credit (0.00) are significant at 5, 5 and 1% respectively. Therefore, the null hypotheses are rejected.

Table 5 presents results of the estimated model for small-scale poultry farmers who used credit. It shows that the p-values of the number of birds raised (0.000) and the number of years of experience in poultry business (0.0486) are significant at 1 and 5% respect-tively. On the other hand, the p-value of the number of years of formal education (0.4964), amount of credit received (0.3380) and the cost of credit (0.3166) are insignificant even at 10%.

The t-value obtained for testing the sixth hypothesis to ascertain a significant difference between the net

income of large- scale poultry farmers who used credit and those who did not as well as that of small scale farmers are 0.012 and 0.569, respectively (Table 6).

DISCUSSION

Sources of formal credit received

Since personal savings limit the financial ability of farmers to employ modern technologies, they are likely to use a number of possible ways of acquiring funds to meet short term shortfalls in capital. Credit is based on trust in people's ability and willingness to pay bills when due (Kapoor et al., 2001). A study by Awuku (2009) showed that most poultry farmers had multiple accounts and mostly supplemented formal institutional funding with credit from either friends or relatives or other convenient sources. The low patronage of rural banks could be due to unfavourable services provided by rural banks in the country (Baah, 2008).

The farmers who obtained loans from credit unions were basically small-scale operators. This may be because credit provided by cooperative societies was safe as it does not hamper the borrower's stability and productive capacity (Fitchett, 1999). It could also be due to the flexible repayment conditions such as Table 5. Estimated model for large-scale poultry farmers who used credit.

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Prob. |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------|----------|
| Education | 287.0146 | 957.5865 | 0.299727 | 0.7688 |
| Experience | 23.75437 | 687.5004 | 0.034552 | 0.9729 |
| Birds | 1.196435 | 0.408775 | 2.926877 | 0.0110 |
| Credit | 5.085775 | 1.607293 | 3.164186 | 0.0069 |
| Cost | -14.39277 | 3.377666 | -4.261159 | 0.0008 |
| С | -1062.053 | 13988.72 | -0.075922 | 0.9406 |
| R-squared | 0.819586 | Mean dependent var | | 32588.15 |
| Adjusted R-squared | 0.755153 | S.D. dependent var | | 39377.33 |
| S.E. of regression | 19484.71 | Akaike info criterion | | 22.83597 |
| Sum squared resid | 5.32E+09 | Schwarz criterion | | 23.13469 |
| Log likelihood | -222.3597 | F-statistic | | 12.71987 |
| Durbin-Watson stat | 2.398187 | Prob(F-statistic) | | 0.000086 |

Source Field survey, 2009.

Table 6. Estimated model for small- scale poultry farmers who used credit.

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Prob. |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------|----------|
| Edu | 39.85243 | 57.06165 | 0.698410 | 0.4964 |
| Exper | 136.7454 | 63.30307 | 2.160171 | 0.0486 |
| Bird | 1.056359 | 0.164252 | 6.431318 | 0.0000 |
| Credit | 0.384159 | 0.387267 | 0.991977 | 0.3380 |
| Cost | -0.771888 | 0.743132 | -1.038696 | 0.3166 |
| С | -1107.788 | 1329.979 | -0.832936 | 0.4189 |
| R-squared | 0.806968 | Mean dependent var | | 5614.300 |
| Adjusted R-squared | 0.738028 | S.D. dependent var | | 2616.743 |
| S.E. of regression | 1339.334 | Akaike info criterion | | 17.48106 |
| Sum squared resid | 25113408 | Schwarz criterion | | 17.77978 |
| Log likelihood | -168.8106 | F-statistic | | 11.70535 |
| Durbin-Watson stat | 2.356905 | Prob(F-statistic) | | 0.000135 |

Source: Field survey, 2009.

agreed upon period of payments.

Requirements for acquiring formal credit: Farmers' and banks' perspective

Annual output of farmers formed the basis for the loan quantity being decided. As a productive capital, one could not expect it to be ignored either. The use of farm records stood prominently in both perspectives. This is confirmed by Arzeno (2004) who stated that if the farmer decides to borrow money for the farm operation, the loan officer or bank will ask to see farmers' financial records so help determine farmers' repayment capacity. A farmer who has a well-kept farm records is in a more favourable position to borrow required funds than one without such farm records. Without it, obtaining a farming loan may be an uphill battle (Iton, 1999). Meyer and Nagarajan (1997) considered collateral as an important factor influencing access to credit as was the case in this study. Because of the absence of complete information about borrowers, banks require collateral either as a mechanism to enforce loan payment or as a screening device to sort borrowers of varying risk levels.

Utilization of credit by the poultry farmers

The reason why most of the farmers used the loans to purchase feed was that they experienced high cost of feeding during the production process. Similarly, Ojo (2003) found that greater portion of credit accessed by poultry farmers is used to buy feed because about 80% of the cost of production is due to the cost of feed. In Ghana, a study by Okantah et al. (2003) found that 65% of poultry farmers held the view that the cost of feed is high and this is mainly due to the high cost of maize in the market.

Effect of formal credit on the net income of farmers

Estimated model for both small and large scale poultry farmers who use formal credit

The conclusion from the model estimation is that both the number of years of formal education as well as the experience in poultry production has no effect on the net income of the respondents. However, the number of birds raised and amount of credit received have a positive effect while the cost of credit has a negative effect on the net income of large-scale poultry farmers. It can also be concluded that the number of birds raised and the number of years of experience in poultry business had positive significant effect on the net income of small-scale holders. The results indicate that there is a significant difference between the net income of large- scale poultry farmers who used credit and those who do not, but insignificant in case of smallscale farmers. These results agree with a study conducted by Jones et al. (1999) stating that net incomes of small-scale farmers were found to be influenced by the number of years of education and livestock holding (number of birds). Arthur (2004) also stated that there was a strong positive correlation between credit and net income, thus, about 53.49% of the variation in net profit was accounted for by its relationship with credit. With respect to the effect of the number of years of experience on technical efficiency which affect net profit, the findings of Ojo (2003) were consistent with the result obtained for the large scale farmers but contradict its effect on the net profit of the small scale poultry farmers in the present study.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The important factors used for assessing credit worthiness are annual output, farm records, and savings with the bank, collateral and guarantor. Farmers use their loans to purchase feeds. It can be concluded that the number of birds raised and the number of years of experience in poultry business had positive significant effect on the net income of small-scale holders. There is a significant difference between the net income of large- scale poultry farmers who used credit and those who do not. The study revealed that formal credit has a positive effect on the net income of large-scale poultry farmers in the urban and peri-urban Kumasi.

The study recommends that there should be subsidy on the price of feed and other feed ingredients for feeding poultry. Financial institutions can also focus on providing loans to large-scale farmers due to its stimulating effect on them. However, it should neither result in negligence for the needs of the small-scale farmers who form the majority of poultry farmers in Ghana.

REFERENCES

- Arthur C (2004). Impact of Credit Financial Credit on Oil Palm Production in Amansie-West District of Ghana, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana.
- Arzeno A (2004). Record Keeping in Farm Managem ent, College of Agriculture and Biological Sciences, South Dakota State University, Brookings.
- Awuku MS (2009). Access to farm credit: The case of ADB and poultry farmers in the Ga East Municipality, Kwam e Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi-Ghana.
- Baah KP (2008). Financing Small-scale Farmers: A Case study of Donkorkrom in the Afram Plains district of Ghana, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana.
- FAO (1995). Safeguarding Deposits, Learning From Past Experience, Food and Agricultural Organization FAO Agricultural Bulletin 116, Rom e.
- FASDEP (2002). Food and Agricultural Sector Development Policy First Draft, Second Revision.
- Fitchett DE (1999). The Growth and Potential of Savings and Credit Cooperative Societies in Swaziland, Department of Economics, University of Swaziland.
- Ghandi BR (1984). Impact of Agricultural Credit on the Development of Small-scale Agriculture in the Lawra District, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi-Ghana.
- Hartmut A, Herbert B, George D, Eberhard G, Volker H, Peter K, Gerhard P, Rolf S (1989). Agricultural Extension: Basic Concepts and Methods, Rural Development Series, Wiley Eastern limited, New Delhi.
- Iton A (1999). Small Farmer Participation in Export Targeting Production in the Windward Islands, OECS/EDU, Roseau, Dominica.
- Jones JHM, Sakyi DO, Harford N, Sey A (1999). Improving Financial Services for Renewable Natural Resource Development in Ghana: Establishing Policy Guidelines for the informal Sector, Final report submitted to Policy Research Programme, NRPAD, and DFID.
- Meyer RL, Nagarajan G (1997). Innovations in Financial Markets: Implications for Rural Developm ent, Paper presented at the XXIII Conference of the International Association of Agricultural Economists, Sacramento, 8/1997.
- Mpuga P (2004). Demand for Credit in Rural Uganda: Who Cares for the Peasants? Paper presented at the Conference on Growth, Poverty Reduction, and Human Developm ent in Africa, Centre for the Study of African Economies. St. Catherine's College, Oxford, UK. 21-22 March 2004.
- Ojo SO (2003). Productivity and Technical Efficiency of Table Egg Production in Nigeria, Int. J. Poultry Sci., 2(6): 459-464.
- Okantah SA, Aboe PAT, Boa-Amponsem K, Dorward P, Bryant MJ (2003). Small-scale chicken keeping in Peri-urban Accra and Kumasi, Technical Report on the DFID (LPP) Project No. R7631, Animal Research Institute, Achimota.
- Sakyi P (2008). The Effect of Importation of Poultry Products on Dom estic Production of Poultry Meat, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana.
- Wayo SA (2002). Agricultural Growth and Competitiveness, under Policy Reforms in Ghana, Technical Publication in Ghana No. 61.