

International Journal of Agricultural Sciences ISSN: 2167-0447 Vol. 6 (5), pp. 1040-1047, May, 2016. Available online at www.internationalscholarsjournals.org © International Scholars Journals

Author(s) retain the copyright of this article.

Full Length Research Paper

Evaluation of different levels of *Parkia* husk in controlling *Striga* gesnerioides infestation in cowpea

*James Okwoche¹, Agum Anifowose² and Abraham Ikenga²

¹Department of Agricultural Technology, Plateau State College of Agriculture, Garkawa, Nigeria. ²Department of Crop and Environmental Protection, University of Agriculture, Makurdi, Nigeria.

Accepted 21 October, 2015

Field trials were conducted in 2012 and 2013 at the Teaching and Research Farm of the Department of Agricultural Technology, Plateau State College of Agriculture Garkawa (Latitude 08°52'N; Longitude 69°24'E) to evaluate the effect of different *Parkia* husk levels in the control of *Striga gesnerioides* in the Southern Guinea Savannah of Nigeria. The treatments consisted of different *Parkia* husk rates thus: 1.0, 2.0, 3.0 and 4.0 g/hill, seed coated with *Parkia* husk and no *Parkia* husk check. These treatments were laid out in a *Randomized* Complete Block Design and replicated three times. The results indicated general delayance in the emergence of *Striga* with increased *Parkia* husk rates. In addition, application of *Parkia* husk before planting significantly reduced the number of crop plants infested with *Striga*, reduced *Striga* shoot, increased crop vigour, delayed flowering of *Striga*, reduced number of cowpea. The application of *Parkia* husk reduced significantly the number of capsules per plant of *Striga*. The implication of this is that there will be depletion of seed bank build up for future infestation, thereby ensuring control of *Striga* over time

Key words: Parkia biglobosa husk, control, Striga gesnerioides, cowpea.

INTRODUCTION

Cowpea, *Vigna unginculata* (L) Walp is one of the most important and widely grown legume crops in the savannah and sahel regions of Africa (Steele, 1976). The relatively high protein content (23%) makes cowpea an important supplement to the diet of many African People (Bressani, 1985) who consume cereals, roots and tubers which are high in carbohydrates and low in protein. The cowpea haulm provides valuable animal feed during the dry season. An important feature of cowpea is that it fixes atmospheric nitrogen through symbiosis with nodule bacteria (*Bradyrhizobium* sp), thereby increasing N levels in the soil for the benefit of the following crop in a rotation. However, despite the economic importance of cowpea in Sub-Saharan Africa and its widespread high potential, its growth and yield are constrained by several biotic and abiotic factors. These include insect pests and diseases, parasitic flowering plants and nematodes. Among these biotic constraints, *Striga gesnerioides* (Wild) Vatke, an obligate, root-parasitic flowering plant of the family Orobanchaceae is a formidable constraint to cowpea production, especially in the dry savanna. Cowpea yield losses associated with *Striga gesnerioides* have been reported to range between 83 and 100% (Cardwell and Lane, 1995). On susceptible local varieties, Emechebe

^{*}Corresponding author. E-mail: james_okwoche@gmail.com.

(1991) reported 100% yield losses on farmers' fields in the Northern Guinea Savanna of

Nigeria. In a survey of the level of *Striga gesnerioides* infestation on farmers fields, Dugje (2006) reported that more than 81% of the fields grown to cowpea in north eastern Nigeria were infested with *Striga gesnerioides* and subject to serious crop losses. Various control measures, including cultural practices, chemical and biological control measures and host plant resistance have been suggested (Boukar, 2004) but no single field method seems to be fully adequate. There is therefore the need to explore other means of controlling this noxious parasitic weed that can be afforded by the resource poor cowpea producers in the sub-Saharan region.

Several workers have reported on the use of plant materials to control Striga spp. Kambou (1997) reported the use of Parkia products (Parkia biglobosa) powder to control Striga hermonthica. They reported that Parkia products improved the soil agrochemicals of the soil. According to Field and Latinga (1989), tannins are the main secondary exudates in Parkia and are toxic to animals especially in aquatic areas. Lane et al. (1991) reported the presence of triterpenes carotenoids, tannins and polyphenolic compounds in Parkia fruits. Kambou (1997) reported germination inhibition of 97-100% and 92% when untreated powder extract and decorticated powder of Parkia were used respectively. Marley et al. (2004) reported 29.1 and 38.8% reduction of Striga emergence under a field and screen house conditions when fruit and fruit powder of Parkia were used respectively.

Yonli et al. (2010) conducted a study to evaluate the allelopathic properties of endogenous plant species against *Striga hermonthica* (Del) Benth and reported that *Parkia biglobosa* peels completely inhibited *Striga* seed germination.

Magani et al. (2010) reported great advantage in using *Parkia* based products as pre-sowed treatments and thereafter followed by post emergence application of 2-4-D or Triclopyr (herbicides) at the rate of 0.36Kg ai/ha to control *Striga*.

This study was undertaken to evaluate the effect of varying levels of *Parkia* husk in controlling *Striga* gesnerioides infestation in cowpea.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field trials were conducted in 2012 and 2013 at the Teaching and Research Farm of the Department of Agricultural Technology, Plateau State College of Agriculture, Garkawa (Latitude 08°52'N; Longitude

09°24'E) in the Southern Guinea Savannah where sandy loam is the dominant soil type.

The trials were established in a field that has previously been observed to be heavily infested with *S*.

gesnerioides.

Preparation of the Parkia husk

Matured and well dried *Parkia* fruits were purchased from producers in Garkawa town. The fruits were peeled to separate the pulp and seeds from the husk. The husk was then spread under the sun for forty eight hours and ground into fine powder (<1 mm) which was stored in a dry place until when needed.

Cowpea material

A local genotype (land race) Gazum known to be susceptible to *S. gesnerioides* was used as a test material.

Experimental design and cultural practices

The treatments consisted of six levels of *Parkia* husk (1.0, 2.0. 3.0. 4.0 g seed coated and no Parkia control). The treatments were laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design with three replications. Each plot consisted of four rows, 4 m long at spacing of 0.2 m intra row and 0.75 m inter row. The land was ploughed, harrowed and ridged at 0.75m apart. Three cowpea seeds were planted per hill on 26th August and 25th August 2012 and 2013 respectively. Thinning was done at two weeks after planting (WAP) to give two plants per stand. Parkia husk Powder was applied in each hill before planting the seed at the rate of 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 g per hill, according to the treatments plus a seed coated treatment. Seed coating was done by adding cowpea seeds to slurry of Parkia husk powder and mixed properly to ensure that the Parkia product was properly coated on the seeds. The seeds were then removed from the slurry and spread on wire mesh for two hours under the sun to dry before planting. Weed control was done manually at 3 and 4 WAP. Thereafter hand pulling was employed to avoid damage to Striga plants. Fertilizer was applied by band method at 2 WAP at the rate of 100 kg ha⁻¹ of NPK (15:15:15) compound fertilizer to give an equivalent of 15 kg a.i. ha⁻¹N, P₂O₅ and K₂O respectively. Insects were controlled with chemical insecticides by spraving at 5% flower initiation and at 2 weeks intervals thereafter with BEST Action Cypermethrin plus Dimethoate at rate of 1.5 L/ha, using a knapsack sprayer.

Data collected

Data were taken on number of days to *Striga* emergence, number of crops infested with *Striga* at 9 and 12 WAS, crop damage score at 9 and 12 WAS, number of days to

first flowering of *Striga*, number of capsules/*Striga* plant, pod number, pod weight and grain yield (kg/ha) of cowpea.

Data analysis

All data were subjected to an Analysis of Variance using PROC user's manual, version 9.1 SAS Institute (2002) and means were compared using Least Significance Difference (LSD).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The result of varying levels of *Parkia* husk powder on days to first emergence of Striga is presented in Table 1. The result shows that the emergence of Striga was significantly earliest in the no Parkia husk control in 2012, 2013 and the average of the two years. Emergence of Striga in the seed coated treatment was however similar to that of the control treatment during both years and the average of the two years. Emergence of Striga was most delayed at the 4.0 g treatment though at par when compared with those obtained at 3, 2 and 1.0 g Parkia husk powder per hill. This agrees with the report of Kolo et al. (2008) that the most concentrated dressed maize seeds with Parkia pulp significantly delayed Striga hernonthica shoot emergence. Similarly, Ibrahim et al. (2011) in field and screen house assessment of Parkia biglobosa based products in Nigeria reported that the number of days to Striga emergence was delayed in the pot experiment than for field trial. Inhibition of germination of Striga in plots treated with Parkia husk may be as a result of high concentration of tannin and phenolic compounds which have been reported earlier to be the likely cause of delayance or germination inhibition of Striga seeds (Sabiiti and Cobbina, 1992; Magani et al., 2009). Result also confirms the report of Yonli et al. (2010) that Parkia biglobosa peels completely inhibited Striga seed germination.

The number of cowpea plants infested with Striga was significantly affected by application of Parkia husk powder (Table 2). Application of different levels of Parkia husk powder and the seed coated resulted in significantly lower number of cowpea plants infested with Striga as compared to the no Parkia control. At 9WAS in 2012, the number of crops infested with Striga was statistically similar when Parkia husk powder was applied at 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0 grams and the seed coated while the no Parkia control gave significantly higher number of crops infested with Striga. In 2013 however, the control treatment gave significantly the highest number of crops infested but was statistically similar when compared with 1.0 and 2.0 g of Parkia husk per hill and the seed coated treatments. In contrast, 4.0 g Parkia husk per hill gave significantly lowest number of crops infested with Striga.

The effects of Parkia husk rates on Striga shoot count

as presented in Table 3 depicts significant variations in shoot counts throughout the period of the observation in the two years. Significantly higher number crop plants infested with Striga were observed in the no Parkia check throughout the period of the observation when compared with the varied Parkia husk levels. There was significant variation in the Parkia husk levels only in the combined effect at 9WAS where 4.0 g of Parkia husk per planting hill had the lowest number of plants infested though not significant when compared with 2.0, 3.0 g/hill and seed coated of Parkia husk. There was no advantage raising the Parkia level to 4.0 g /hill. It was observed that number of plants infested with Striga was significantly and consistently highest in the no Parkia check throughout the period of the observation when compared with the other Parkia husk levels. This result confirmed the work of Kambou et al. (1997) and Magani et al. (2010) who reported inhibition of the germination of S. hermonthica seeds in Burkina Faso and Nigeria respectively, when different concentrations of Parkia pod extracts were used. The result is also similar to the findings of Kolo and Nkonchoson (2003) and Kolo et al (2005) who found that P. biglobosa parts (husk and seed extracts) reduced S hernonthica shoots in maize. Lower Striga shoot count in the Parkia husk treatments may be attributed to the presence of allelochemicals in the Parkia product which could have been responsible for germination inhibition of S. gesnerioides in these treatments. This result supports farmer's practice in Nigeria for Striga control among other practices such as the use of brine (NaCl) solution (Gworgwor et al., 2002) and Parkia extract to have inhibited germination of S. hermonthica (Kambou et al., 1997). Lane et al. (1991) reported the presence of triterpenes, carotenoids, tannins and polyphenolic compounds notably the, tannins as reported to be active biological substance. Ibrahim et al. (2011) in a pot experiment reported generally, maize seed soaking with Parkia fruit powder recorded significantly the lowest number of emerged Striga when compared to the highest obtained with use of distilled water (check) throughout the period of observation.

There was significant variation in crop damage score throughout the period of the observation in the two years (Table 4). Damage score was significantly highest in the no Parkia control throughout the period of observation. At 9WAS in 2012, the lowest damage score was obtained at 2.0 g Parkia husk//hill though at par with that obtained at 1.0 3.0, 4.0 g/hill and the seed coated treatments. There was however no significant difference between damage scores of the seed coated treatment and the highest at the no Parkia check. In 2013 and the average of the two years, the lowest damage score was at 4.0 g/hill, though similar with the damage score at 1.0, 2.0, 3.0 g Parkia husk/hill and the seed coated treatment. At 12WAS in 2012, 2013 and the average of the two years, damage score of plants at the seed coating treatment were similar compared with the highest at the no Parkia check. In 2013

| Parkia laval a/hill | Days to first Striga emergence | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Parkia level g/hill — | 2012 | 2013 | Combine | | | | |
| 1.0 g | 38.67a | 34.00bc | 36.33a | | | | |
| 2.0 g | 38.33ab | 35.67ab | 37.00a | | | | |
| 3.0 g | 39.00a | 35.67ab | 37.33a | | | | |
| 4.0 g | 40.00a | 36.33a | 38.17a | | | | |
| Seed coated | 32.33bc | 32.00c | 32.17b | | | | |
| Control | 31.67c | 32.67c | 32.17b | | | | |
| LSD 0:05 | 6.258 | 2.011 | 3.402 | | | | |

Table 1. Effect of *Parkia* husk levels on number of days to first *Striga* emergence at Garkawa in 2012 and 2013 Cropping Seasons.

Means in a column followed by the same letter(s) are not significantly different at 5% level of probability using LSD.

Table 2. Effect of *Parkia* husk powder levels on number of crops infested with *Striga* at Garkawa in 2012 and 2013 Cropping Seasons.

| Parkia level (g/hill) | Crops infested with Striga at 9WAS | | | Crops infested with Striga at 12WAS | | |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------------|---------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2013 | Combined | 2012 | 2013 | Combined |
| 1.0 g | 10.67b | 16.67ab | 13.67b | 12.67b | 13.67b | 13.17b |
| 2.0 g | 8.67b | 12.00b | 10.33bc | 11.00bc | 11.00bc | 11.00bc |
| 3.0 g | 10.33b | 10.00b | 10.17bc | 14.33b | 10.67bc | 12.50b |
| 4.0 g | 7.33b | 9.67b | 8.50c | 7.67c | 8.67c | 8.17c |
| Seed coated | 6.67b | 14.33ab | 10.50bc | 13.33b | 13.67b | 13.50b |
| Control | 17.67a | 19.00a | 18.33a | 22.00a | 20.00a | 21.00a |
| LSD 0:05 | 5.864 | 7.702 | 4.432 | 4.859 | 3.925 | 3.690 |

Means in a column followed by the same letter(s) are not significantly different at 5% level of probability using LSD.

Table 3. Effect of *Parkia* husk powder levels on number of crops infested with *Striga* at Garkawa in 2012 and 2013Croppin Seasons.

| Parkia level (g/hill) | Crops infested with Striga at 9WAS | | | Crops infested with Striga at 12WAS | | |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------------|---------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2013 | Combined | 2012 | 2013 | Combined |
| 1.0 g | 10.67b | 16.67ab | 13.67b | 12.67b | 13.67b | 13.17b |
| 2.0 g | 8.67b | 12.00b | 10.33bc | 11.00bc | 11.00bc | 11.00bc |
| 3.0 g | 10.33b | 10.00b | 10.17bc | 14.33b | 10.67bc | 12.50b |
| 4.0 g | 7.33b | 9.67b | 8.50c | 7.67c | 8.67c | 8.17c |
| Seed coated | 6.67b | 14.33ab | 10.50bc | 13.33b | 13.67b | 13.50b |
| Control | 17.67a | 19.00a | 18.33a | 22.00a | 20.00a | 21.00a |
| LSD 0:05 | 5.864 | 7.702 | 4.432 | 4.859 | 3.925 | 3.690 |

Means in a column followed by the same letter(s) are not significantly different at 5% level of probability using LSD.

and the average of the two years, crops plants at 4.0 g/hill were significantly more vigorous compared with the crop plants of the seed coated treatment and those of the no *Parkia* check. Generally, damage score was least flowering which was similar to those of 1.0, 2.0 g *Parkia* at 4.0 g *Parkia* husk/hill because *Striga* shoots emerged late (Table 1) and fewer crops were infested with *Striga*

(Table 2). It is possible that *Striga* seed germination and or attachment to the host root in this treatment were inhibited more than those of other treatments.

The effects of *Parkia* husk levels on number of days to first and 50% flowering of cowpea (Table 5) showed that there were significant variations in number of days to first and 50% flowering of cowpea. In 2012, cowpea flowering

| Parkia level (g/hill) | Crop damage score at 9WAS crop | | | Damage score at 12WAS | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--------|----------|-----------------------|--------|----------|
| Parkia level (g/iiii) | 2012 | 2013 | Combined | 2012 | 2013 | Combined |
| 1.0 g | 2.33b | 2.67ab | 2.50bc | 2.67b | 3.00ab | 2.83bc |
| 2.0 g | 2.00b | 2.67ab | 2.33bc | 2.33b | 3.00ab | 2.67bc |
| 3.0 g | 2.33b | 2.33b | 2.33bc | 3.00ab | 2.33b | 2.67bc |
| 4.0 g | 2.33b | 2.00b | 2.17c | 2.67b | 2.33b | 2.50c |
| Seed coated | 2.67ab | 3.00ab | 2.83b | 3.00ab | 3.67a | 3.33ab |
| Control | 3.33a | 3.67a | 3.50a | 4.00a | 3.67a | 3.83a |
| LSD 0:05 | 0.879 | 1.182 | 0.567 | 1.033 | 1.198 | 0.737 |

Table 4. Effect of Parkia husk levels on crop Vigour at Garkawa in 2012 and 2013 Cropping Seasons.

Means in a column followed by the same letter(s) are not significantly different at 5% level of probability using LSD. Crop damage symptom score scale (1-5) where 1 = normal crop plant growth; 2 = no chlorosis; 3 = no blotching; 4 = no leaf scorching and 5 = total scorching or and obvious stunted or dead plants. WAS = Weeks after sowing.

Table 5. Effect of *Parkia* husk levels on number of days to first and 50% flowering *of* Cowpea at Garkawa in 2012 and 2013.

| Parkia level (g/hill) | Days to first flowering of cowpea | | | Days to 50% flowering of cowpea | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|----------|---------------------------------|---------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2013 | Combined | 2012 | 2013 | Combined |
| 1.0 g | 45.67ab | 43.67bc | 44.67b | 48.67abc | 46.67bc | 47.67b |
| 2.0 g | 46.00ab | 45.00ab | 45.50ab | 48.67abc | 47.33ab | 48.00ab |
| 3.0 g | 47.00a | 45.00ab | 46.00a | 49.33a | 47.67ab | 48.50ab |
| 4.0 g | 47.00a | 45.33a | 46.17a | 49.00ab | 48.33a | 48.67a |
| Seed Coated | 45.67ab | 43.33c | 44.50b | 47.67bc | 45.33d | 46.50c |
| Control | 45.00b | 44.00abc | 44.50b | 47.33c | 46.00cd | 46.67c |
| LSD 0:05 | 1.396 | 1.546 | 1.261 | 1.616 | 1.272 | 0.983 |

Means in a column followed by the same letter(s) are not significantly different at 5% level of probability using LSD.

was earliest in the no. *Parkia* husk control though not significant when compared with seed coated, 1.0 and 2.0 g *Parkia* husk per hill. Application of 3.0 and 4.0 g *Parkia* husk per hill gave the highest number of days to cowpea husk per hill and the seed coated but significantly different from the lowest at the no *Parkia* husk check. Similarly in 2013, the highest number of days to flowering of cowpea was at 4.0 g *Parkia* husk per hill which was only significantly different from the lowest in the seed coated treatment. The combined effect showed that cowpea plants planted at 3.0 and 4.0 g *Parkia* husk per hill took longer days to flower compared with the lowest in the control treatment.

There was also significant variation in the number of days to 50% flowering of cowpea. In 2012, 50% flowering was earliest at no *Parkia* husk check though similar to that obtained at seed coated, 1.0 and 2.0 g *Parkia* husk per hill. In 2013 and the average of the two years, 50% flowering of cowpea was earliest at the seed coated with *Parkia* husk though at par with that of the no *Parkia* husk check. There was general delayance in 50% flowering of cowpea as *Parkia* husk level was raised from 1.0 to 4.0 g per hill though the difference was not significant.

Effect of *Parkia* husk levels on number of days to first and 50% podding as presented in Table 6 showed significant variation in the two parameters in 2012, 2013 and the average of the two (2) years. First podding and 50% podding were significantly earlier in the seed coated treatment and the no *Parkia* husk check. In both cases there was delayance as the *Parkia* husk levels were raised from 1.0 to 4.0 g/hill although the difference was not significant. The results indicated that there were no obvious advantages in raising the *Parkia* husk level from 1.0 to 4.0 g/hill.

The effect of *Parkia* husk levels on the number of days to first flowering of *Striga* and number of capsules per *Striga* plant is presented in Table 7. The table shows that the number of days to first flowering of *Striga* was inconsistent in 2012. In 2013 however, flowering of *Striga* plants was significantly earliest in the no *Parkia* husk compared with the other *Parkia* husk levels except 1.0g *Parkia* husk/hill and the seed coated treatments. The combined effect showed that flowering of *Striga* was significantly delayed at higher rates (3.0 and 4.0 g) *Parkia* husk per hill compared to the other *Parkia* husk levels which were significantly lower and at par.

| Parkia level (g/hill) | Days to first podding of cowpea | | | Days to 50% podding of cowpea | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|--------|----------|-------------------------------|--------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2013 | Combined | 2012 | 2013 | Combined |
| 1.0 g | 49.00ab | 47.00b | 48.00bc | 52.67a | 50.33b | 51.50b |
| 2.0 g | 49.00ab | 49.00a | 49.00ab | 52.67a | 52.00a | 52.33ab |
| 3.0 g | 49.00ab | 49.33a | 49.17a | 53.67a | 52.33a | 53.00a |
| 4.0 g | 50.33a | 49.00a | 49.67a | 53.67a | 53.00a | 53.33a |
| Seed Coated | 47.67bc | 46.67b | 47.17cd | 50.67b | 49.33b | 50.00c |
| Control | 47.00c | 46.33b | 46.67d | 50.00b | 49.67b | 49.83c |
| LSD 0:05 | 1.726 | 1.650 | 1.147 | 1.616 | 1.616 | 1.174 |

Table 6. Effect of *Parkia* powder levels on number of days to first and 50% Podding of Cowpea at Garkawa in 2012 and 2013.

Means in a column followed by the same letter(s) are not significantly different at 5% level of probability using LSD.

Table 7. Effect of Parkia husk levels on number of days to first flowering of Striga and number of capsules per Striga plant at Garkawa in 2012 and 2013.

| Derkie level (r/hill) | Days t | Days to first flowering of Striga | | | Number of capsules per Striga Plant | | |
|-----------------------|--------|-----------------------------------|----------|----------|-------------------------------------|-----------|--|
| Parkia level (g/hill) | 2012 | 2013 | Combined | 2012 | 2013 | Combined | |
| 1.0 g | 42.67a | 42.67bc | 42.67b | 397.00a | 442.00bc | 419.50abc | |
| 2.0 g | 42.33a | 43.33b | 42.83b | 367.00a | 416.00bcd | 391.50bc | |
| 3.0 g | 43.00a | 45.00a | 44.00a | 358.00ab | 403.67cd | 380.83c | |
| 4.0 g | 42.67a | 45.67a | 44.17a | 306.00b | 365.67d | 335.83d | |
| Seed coated | 42.33a | 42.33bc | 42.33b | 395.33a | 455.67ab | 425.50ab | |
| Control | 43.00a | 42.00c | 42.50b | 415.33a | 498.00a | 456.67a | |
| LSD 0:05 | 1.789 | 1.329 | 0.830 | 60.948 | 51.784 | 43.658 | |

Means in a column followed by the same letter(s) are not significantly different at 5% level of probability using LSD.

There was significant variation in the number of capsules per Striga plant (Table 7). In 2012, the number of capsules per plant was significantly highest at the no Parkia check, though similar with that obtained at 1.0, 2.0, 3.0 g/hill and the seed coated Parkia husk levels. In 2013, number of capsules per plant was significantly lowest at 4.0 g Parkia husk per hill compared with the other Parkia treatments except 2.0 and 3.0 g per hill of Parkia husk. The combined effect showed that number of capsules per plant was significantly lowest at the 4.0g Parkia husk per hill compared with the highest in the no Parkia check. This result is similar to that of Magani et al. (2010) who reported lower Striga capsules/plant in plots treated with Parkia based products. The consistently and significantly low number of capsules recorded at the 4.0 g Parkia husk per hill throughout the period of the observation may be due to higher concentration of allelochemicals in Parkia husk at this level which could have inhibited the growth of the Striga plants. Kolo et al. (2008) reported inhibition of germination and growth of Striga with high concentration of Parkia products.

Table 8 shows the effect of *Parkia* husk levels on days to crop maturity and number of pods per net plot in 2012 and 2013. 1.0 g *Parkia* husk/hill, seed coated and the no *Parkia* check had similar maturity days but significantly lower than the highest obtained at 3.0 and 4.0 g *Parkia*

husk/hill throughout the period of observation. There was a general delayance of maturity when *Parkia* product was applied against earliest maturity in the no *Parkia* check.

There was significant variation in the number of pods per net plot only in 2013 and the average of the two years. In 2013 pods/net plot obtained at 2.0 and 3.0 g *Parkia* husk/hill were similar and significantly higher compared with the lowest in the no *Parkia* husk control. In both 2013 and the average of the two years, there was significant reduction in number of pods/net plot as *Parkia* husk level was raised to 4.0 g/hill.

The effects of *Parkia* husk levels on pod weight (kg/ha) and grain yield (kg/ha) in 2012 and 2013 showed there was no significant variation in the pod weight of cowpea (Table 9). Similarly, *Parkia* husk levels did not have any significant effect o grain yield in 2012. In 2013 however, 2.0 and 3.0 g *Parkia* husk/hill had similar yields that were significantly higher than the lowest obtained at 4.0 g *Parkia* husk/hill. The average of the two years showed that 2.0, 3.0 g *Parkia* husk/hill and seed coated gave similar grain yields that were significantly higher than the lowest obtained at 4.0 g *Parkia* husk/hill. The result revealed that there was no advantage in raising *Parkia* husk level beyond 2.0 g/hill. Increased yield as a result of the applications of *Parkia* product is in agreement with Magani et al. (2010), Kolo and Mamudu (2008) and

| <i>Parkia</i> level (g/hill) | Days to maturity | | | Number of pods per net plot | | |
|------------------------------|------------------|----------|----------|-----------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2013 | Combined | 2012 | 2013 | Combined |
| 1.0 g | 64.67abc | 64.33bc | 64.50abc | 235.00a | 209.33bc | 222.17ab |
| 2.0 g | 65.33ab | 65.33ab | 65.33ab | 194.33a | 267.00a | 230.67a |
| 3.0 g | 65.67a | 66.00a | 65.83a | 140.00a | 256.67ab | 198.33ab |
| 4.0 g | 65.67a | 66.00a | 65.83a | 126.00a | 195.00c | 160.50b |
| Seed coated | 63.67c | 64.67abc | 64.17bc | 217.67a | 209bc | 213.67ab |
| Control | 64.00bc | 63.67c | 63.83c | 205.33a | 162.33c | 183.83ab |
| LSD 0:05 | 1.661 | 1.370 | 1.391 | 113.47 | 49.222 | 66.49 |

Table 8. Effect of *Parkia* husk levels on number of days to crop maturity and number of pods per net plot at Garkawa in 2012 and 2013.

Means in a column followed by the same letter(s) are not significantly different at 5% level of probability using LSD.

Table 9. Effect of *Parkia* husk levels on pod weight and grain yield (kg/ha) at Garkawa in 2012 and 2013

 Cropping Seasons.

| Parkia level (g/hill) | Pod weight (kg/ha) | | | Grain yield (kg/ha) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------|---------|----------|---------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2013 | Combined | 2012 | 2013 | Combined |
| 1.0 g | 311.00a | 252.13a | 281.57a | 184.33a | 177ab | 180.80ab |
| 2.0 g | 331.05a | 268.29a | 299.67a | 231.25a | 191.23a | 211.24a |
| 3.0 g | 248.54a | 260.24a | 254.39a | 193.75a | 202.58a | 198.17a |
| 4.0 g | 219.79a | 270.09a | 244.94a | 154.17a | 120.24b | 137.21b |
| Seed coated | 311.46a | 263.32a | 287.39a | 223.00a | 188.61ab | 205.81a |
| Control | 246.95a | 249.69a | 248.32a | 150.50a | 158.17ab | 154.33ab |
| LSD 0:05 | 158.450 | 21.539 | 84.002 | 88.481 | 70.18 | 57.658 |

Means in a column followed by the same letter(s) are not significantly different at 5% level of probability using LSD.

Kanampiu et al. (2003). Earlier report had shown that the application of Parkia husk significantly delayed Striga emergence (Table 1), reduced number of crops infested by Striga (Table 2), reduced Striga shoot count (Table 3) and number of capsules/Striga plant (Table 7). The long time suppression of the parasite by allelochemicals contained in the Parkia husk might have been responsible for the better performance of the cowpea plants treated with this Parkia product with subsequent increment in grain yield. However, raising Parkia husk level to 4.0 g/hill significantly delayed Striga emergence, reduced number of crops infested, reduced Striga shoot count and capsules/Striga plant but without a corresponding increment in yield as shown above. This may be attributed to the fact that at this level, the concentration of the allelochemicals in the Parkia husk is beyond what can be tolerated by the crop, hence the reduction in the performance of the crop in terms of yield.

Conclusion

The Study result revealed that application of *Parkia* husk significantly delayed *Striga* emergence, reduced number

of crops infested by *Striga*, reduced *Striga* shoot count, delayed flowering of *Striga*, reduced number of capsules per *Striga* plant, while it increased crop vigour, number of pods per plant and subsequently increased grain yield of cowpea. The application of *Parkia* husk reduced significantly the number of capsules per plant of *Striga*. The implication of this is that there will be depletion of seed bank build up for future infestation, thereby ensuring control of *Striga* over time. It could therefore be concluded that *Parkia* husk has great potentials for controlling *Striga* gesnerioides. *Parkia* husk is a waste product of *Parkia* fruit processing and therefore can easily be acquired by resource poor farmers. It is therefore recommended for inclusion by farmers in integrated *Striga* management strategy.

REFERENCES

Boukar O, Kong L, Sing BB, Murdock I, Ohm HW (2004).
AFLP and AFLP-derived SCAR markers associated with *Striga gesnerioides* resistance in cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata*(L.) Walp.]. Crop Sci., 44: 1259-1264
Bressani R (1985). Nutritive Value of Cowpea pages 353

- 360 In: Cowpea Production and Utilisation. S, R. Singh and K.O. Rachie eds. John Wiley and Sons, Chichester,
- Cardwell KF, Lane JA (1995). Effect of soils, cropping system and host phenotype on incidence and severity of *Striga gesnerioides* on cowpea in West Africa. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ., 116, 251-254.
- Dugje IY, Kamara AY, Omoigui LO (2006). Infestation of crop fields by *Striga* species in the savannah zones of North Eastern Nigeria. Agric. Ecosyst. environ., 116:251-254.
- Emechebe AM, Singh BB, Leleji OI, Atokple IDK, Adu JK (1991).Cowpea *Striga* problems and research in Nigeria. *In* Kim S.K., ed. Combating *Striga* in Africa, Proceedings of an International Workshops, 1988 August 22 24; August, Ibadan, Nigeria.

England Cardwell KF, Lane JA (1995). Effect of Soil, cropping system and host phenotype on incidence and severity of *Striga gesnerioides* on cowpea in West Africa. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ., 53:53-262

- Field JA, Lettinga G (1989).The effect of exidative coloration on the methanogenic toxicity and anacrobic biodegradability of Phends. Biological Wastes, 29:161 – 179.
- Gworgwor NA, Hudu AI, Joshua AD (2002). Seed treatment of sorghum varieties with brine (NaCI) solution for control of *Striga hermonthica* in sorghum. Crop protection, 21:1015-1021.
- Ibrahim A, Magani IE, Jimin AA (2011). Field and screen house assessment of *Parkia biglobosa* based products in the control of *Striga hermonthica* in maize in the savanna. J. sustain. Dev. Agric. Environ., 6 (1) :33-4
- Kambou GO, Ouedraogo O, Some N, Ouedraogo O (1997). Effects of dextraints de gouses de nere, *Parkia biglobosa* (Jacq). R. Br. EXG. Don Surla germination du *Striga hermonthica* (Del.) Benth du mais. IITA Pp 294 305.
- Kanampiu FK, Kabambe V, Massawe C, Jasi L, Friesen D, Ransom JK, Gressel J (2003). Multiple site, multiseaeon field tests demonstrate that herbicide seed coating herbicide- resistance maize controls *Striga* spp. And increases yields in several African countries. Crop protection, 22:697-706
- Kolo MGM, Mamudu AY (2008). Water Treatment of *Parkia biglobosa* pulp dressed maise (*Zea mays* L.) seeds for *Striga hermonthica* control at Minna, Nigeria. Agricultura et Subtropica. 41(3):96-105.
- Kolo MGM, Nkonchoson MG (2003): Maize (*Zea mays* L.) seed dressing with *Parkia biglobosa* (Jacq.) R. Br. For *Striga hermonthica* (Del.) Benth. Control in Minna, Nigeria. J. Sustain. Trop. Agric. Res., 7:83-87.
- Kolo MGM, Usman I, Fadipe AL, Adesina GO, Ibikunle GF (2005). Dressing of maize (*Zea mays* L.) seeds with *Parkia biglobosa* (Jacq.) R. Br. Extracts to control *Striga hermonthica* (Del.) Benth. J. Sustain. Trop. Agric. Res., 13: 81-85.

- Lane JA, Bailley JA, Terry PJ (1991). An in vitro growth system for studying the parasitism of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) by *Striga gesnerioides*. Weed Res., 31:211 217.
- Magani IE, Ibrahim A, Ahom IR (2010).Sustainable control of *Striga hermonthica* in maize (*Zea mays* L.) By the use of *Parkia biglobosa* based products and post-emergence herbicides. Adv. Environ. Biol., 4 (2): 258 264.
- Magani IE, Ibrahim A, Avav T (2009). Integrated Control of *Striga hermonthica* using *Parkia bigblosa* products and mycoherbicides (*Fusarium oxysporum*) in maize (*Zea mays* L.) in the savannah. J. Appl. Biosci., 21:1217-1225.
- Marley PS, Toure A, Shebayan JY, Aba DA, Joure OA, Diallo GA, Katile SO (2004). Variability in host plant resistance of Sorghum to *Striga hermonthica* infection in West Afrcia. Archives of Phytopathol. Plant Prot., 37:211-217
- Sabiiti EN, Cobbina J (1992): Initial agronomic evaluation of *Parkia biglobosa* in the humid zone of Nigeria. Agroforestry Systems, 17:271-279.
- Steel WM (1976). Cowpeas pages 183 185 In: Evolution of Crop Plants N.W.Simmonds, ed. Lonngman Group UK LTD, Harlow, Essex England.
- Yonli D, Traore P, Sereme P, Sankarara P (2010.) Use of Local Plant Aqueous Extracts as Potential Bioherbicides against *Striga hermonthica* (Del.) Benth. In Burkina Faso. Asian J. Crop Sci., 2:147 – 154