

Advances in Aquaculture and Fisheries Management ISSN: 9424-2933 Vol. 7 (2), pp. 001-005, February, 2019. Available online at www.internationalscholarsjournals.org © International Scholars Journals

Author(s) retain the copyright of this article.

Full Length Research Paper

Generation and affirmation of clones utilizing gynogenesis as a part of Japanese fumble

*Yongxin Yein, Estir I. Vin and Xioang V. E

Department of Fishery Sciences, Faculty of Agriculturen, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China.

Accepted 24 November, 2018

Two homozygous clones of Japanese flounder were produced by the gynogenesis that suppresses the cleavage of the eggs in the mitosis and then blocks the extrusion of the second polar body in the meiosis. Microsatellite was used to identify the genetic status of maternal parents and their progenies. 21 polymorphic microsatellite markers were chosen, distributed at the distal region of the 16 linkage group. In this experiment, the two used maternal parents were completely heterozygous, while both mitotic gynogenetic diploids and their clone progenies were homozygous for each marker, demonstrating the successful development of cloning.

Key words: Japanese flounder, microsatellite, clone, gynogenesis.

INTRODUCTION

Gynogenesis by chromosome manipulation has been increasingly applied to improve the economic traits of cultured fishes and to produce various useful breeds and strains in aquaculture (Thorgaard, 1983). Using this procedure, completely homozygous clones can be established in only two generations: homozygous diploids are produced in the first generation by mitotic gynogenesis, which suppresses the first mitotic cleavage of the egg. The clones are produced in the second generation by meiotic gynogenesis, which inhibits the release of the second polar body during meiosis (Purdom et al., 1985; Arai, 2001; Komen and Thorgaard, 2007). Therefore, gynogenesis could dramatically shorten the time to produce clonal lines, as compared to the conventional brother-sister mating method (Taguchi, 1981). There have been many clonal lines generated by gynogenesis in zebra fish (Streisinger et al., 1981), medaka (Naruse et al., 1985), ayu (Han et al., 1991).

common carp (Komen et al., 1991), rainbow trout (Quillet et al., 1991), amago salmon(Kobayashi et al., 1994), tilapia (Mu'ller-Belecke and Ho'rstgen-Schwark, 1995; Mu'ller-Belecke and Ho'rstgen-Schwark, 2000), Japanese flounder (Yamamoto, 1999) and red sea bream (Kato et al., 2002). Additionally, clones play an important role in immunological, endocrinological, developmental, molecular biological and genetic studies.

Japanese flounder is an important cultured flatfish species that is distributed along the coast of China. It has slow growth rate and low resistance to disease, although artificial reproduction from wild fish several generations, was not suitable for intensive production. Therefore, it is necessary to develop the new breed of the Japanese flounder with a high performance. Producing clonal lines can rapidly fix desirable economical traits. Since gynogenetic males can be produced by sex-reversal during sex differentiation (Yamamoto, 1999), different clonal lines are also useful to generate recombinant inbred lines in order to bring multiple superior traits together. In order to prepare homozygous strains for cross breeding, this study produced clonal lines using gynogenesis method. The clonal status was verified with microsatellite marker.

^{*}Corresponding author. E-mail: yong_yien@gmail.com

Table 1. Attributes of the 21 microsatellite markers used in this study.

| Marker | Core sequence | Primer sequence | Annealing temperature | Number of allele | Size of allele (bp) | Linkage group | GenBank accession number |
|--------------|--------------------|--|-----------------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Poli2TUF | (CA)25 | F: ACAATAGGATGCAGCTGCCT R: AAGCGCAAATTGTTATTCCG | 57 | 4 | 94-156 | 22 | AB037978 |
| Poli9TUF | (CA)20 | F: GATCTGCAGAAACACACACTCA R: GCGAGTTCTTCCTCAAATGC | 62 | 4 | 137-178 | 5 | AB037980 |
| Poli18TUF | (CA)14 | F: CACGCACACACAAGCTCC R: CGTGGGGTGAGGTTATGG | 57 | 4 | 150-172 | 3 | AB037983 |
| Poli23TUF | (CA)27 | F: CACAGTGTCACAAAGTGGTGG R: GGGTGTTCTGTGTCATGCTG | 60 | 4 | 97-169 | 2 | AB037985 |
| Poli101TUF | (CA)25 | F: CTCCAGTCATGCTCCAATGATGAC R: AGGATGTTGTAATGAACATTGTGATGA | 60 | 3 | 128-157 | 10 | AB086493 |
| Poli107TUF | (CA)27 | F: TGGAAGAGATGTGCACTTGACTGTC R: AACTGTCACCTCTGAGTGGACCG | 60 | 4 | 109-144 | 6 | AB037990 |
| Poli123TUF | (CA)35 | F: TATCTGACCAGAACTGGAGGGTCTG R: GCGTGTGCATTCGATATACATTTTG | 64 | 4 | 118-156 | 20 | AB037994 |
| Poli130TUF | (CA)24 | F: GCGGTGAGGACTTTATTTCTGGACT R: GTGGTACTGCAGAAAAGCGACTGTT | 60 | 3 | 138-172 | 1 | AB037996 |
| Poli139TUF | (CA)12 | F:GACAGTTAGA GACCATCGGG TTGG R:GCAGCCTGTT TGTTCCATTA AGAGA | 60 | 4 | 139-173 | 20 | AB459413 |
| Poli141TUF | (CA)32 | F: TATGCACAGT TTGAATGGGT GAATG R: TATGACTTCC AGTACGACGT GGTGA | 52 | 4 | 126-178 | 14 | AB086537 |
| Poli9-8TUF | (CA)12 | F: GAGAGACAGAAGGTCGTCAACGGTA R: ACAAAGACCACGATGCAAAGTGAC | 64 | 3 | 144-157 | 15 | AB037989 |
| Poli13TUF | (CA)27 | F:CACCTCCAGGTTCTACAGTCG R:TCCTGCACAGAGGATGAAAA | 60 | 3 | 150-178 | 3 | AB037982 |
| Poli193TUF | (CA)21 | F:CTCCCAACTG AAGTGGATTG TGTTT R:GTACACCAAA CCAAGCTCAG CTCAT | 60 | 4 | 81-166 | 23 | AB459463 |
| Po13 | (CA)13 | F: ATCCCGTAACAGCCAATCAG R: CGTCCAGGACAATCAGGACT | 60 | 3 | 216-229 | 15 | AB046746 |
| Poli16-79TUF | (CA) ₂₁ | F:GCTGTCTGAC ACCACAGGGT TCTAA R: CCACACTGGT CACACAAGGA AGTAG | 60 | 4 | 137-175 | 18 | AB459369 |
| Poli12MHFS | (CA)32 | F:CAGTGCCTAA ACCAGTGT R: TGTGCTACCG TGAATAAT | 60 | 4 | 189-205 | 15 | AB459319 |
| Poli18-55TUF | (CA)32 | F:ACTCTCGTGA TGAAGACTGG ACCAT R:ATCATCACTG ACCCTGATGT GTTCA | 60 | 4 | 61-165 | 7 | AB459376 |

Table 1. Continue.

| Poli39MHFS | (CA)31 | F: GGCCTTGTTG TTGTCTGTGA R: ACCGAATGTG AATCTGAAAA | 60 | 4 | 184-212 | 15 | AB459333 |
|------------|----------|--|----|---|---------|----|----------|
| Poli174TUF | (CA)19 | F:TAGAAACTGG CCTTCATGGT GTCTC | 60 | 4 | 135-168 | 11 | AB459445 |
| | (CA)28 | F:CAGTCAACAC GGACTTCATC CTGAG | | | 133-165 | 9 | AB459453 |
| Poli1821UF | | R:TGAACACCTT TGAAAGACAC CTTGA | 60 | 4 | | | |
| Po25A | (GATG)10 | F:AGTCAGGTTTCAGGCCACTG R:CAGAAGTGTTGTCGCAGGAA | 60 | 4 | 224-243 | 16 | AB046749 |

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Induction of mitotic gynogenetic diploids

Induction of mitotic gynogenetic diploids was carried out in 2007 at Beidaihe Central Experiment Station, Chinese Academy of Fishery Sciences, Qinhuangdao, Hebei Province. Four mature Japanese flounder females were selected from foundation stock. Eggs were stripped from each female and placed into a 100 ml glass beaker. Sperm were collected from red sea bream using the same procedure. Prior to use, eggs and sperm were stored in a refrigerator at a temperature of 4°C. UV irradiation of red sea bream's sperm was conducted according to the method described by Yamamoto (1999). For induction of mitotic gynogenetic diploids, eggs were first fertilized with UV-irradiated red sea bream sperm and cleavage was

inhibited with hydrostatic pressure (650 kg/cm²) for a minute after 60 min fertilization. Subsequently, eggs were then transferred to 17°C seawater for incubation. Four mitotic gynogenetic families were simplified as MIT-A, MIT-B, MIT-C and MIT-D.

Production of clones

Mitotic gynogenetic diploids were cultivated until sexual maturity. For cloning, two females (numbered 2004 and 3165) with well-developed gonads were chosen from families MIT-A and MIT-B, respectively. To develop clonal lines 1 and 2, their eggs were first inseminated with UV-irradiated heterospecific sperm and after 2 min, their second polar body was inhibited by cold shock for 45 min at 0°C

Confirmation of clones

To extract genomic DNA, a fin clip was sampled from each maternal parent and mitotic gynogenetic diploid just after artificial fertilization, as well as from each clone at three months after hatching. Genomic DNA of each sample was obtained using phenol-chloroform extraction (Blin and Stafford, 1976).

A set of 30 microsatellite loci, located in a distal region of linkage groups, in the Japanese flounder linkage maps (Coimbra et al., 2003; Castaño-Sánchez et al., 2010) were used to screen the four maternal parents, two mitotic gynogenetic diploids and their clone progenies. PCR was implemented in a reaction mixture (15 μ l) that consisted of 30 to 50 ng template DNA, 1xPCR buffer (50 mM of KCI,

10 mM of Tris-HCl, 1.5 mM of MgCl₂, pH 8.3), 200 μM of each dNTP, 1 U *Taq* polymerase (Takara), and 2 pmol of each primer. The PCR products were isolated by electrophoresis on 8% (wt/vol) denatured polyacrylamide gel (19:1 acrylamide : bis-acrylamide and 7 M urea). After electrophoresis, the gel was stained with silver nitrate as described by Liao et al. (2007).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Using gynogenesis for Japanese flounder in China, we induced 152 mitotic gynogenetic diploids, from which, two clonal lines were produced, containing 286 and 174 survivors, respectively. Through screening by 30 microsatellite makers in four maternal parents, we found that 21 markers performed polymorphism and can be therefore

used to identify genetic status of two mitotic gynogenetic diploids and their clone progenies. These 21 markers were found to be distributed in 16 linkage groups of the total 24, indicating the genome wide sampling in the study. Of the 21 markers, four were located on the 15th linkage group, two markers were located on the 3rd and 20th linkage group, and one marker was located on each of the other 13 linkage groups. Table 1 shows core and primer sequences, annealing temperatures, sizes of alleles, number of alleles observed in the present study, the located linkage groups and access numbers in GenBank of 21 polymorphic microsatellite markers. Further detecting results demonstrated that 21 polymorphic markers for all clone progenies were homozygous and identical with that of corresponding mitotic gynogenetic diploid. The allele sizes (bp) of the 21 markers are listed in Table 2. With Japanese flounder in China, we successfully produced homozygous clones by the combination of inducing mitotic gynogenetic diploids and meiotic gynogenetic diploids over two generations. Genetic identity within a cloned population was confirmed by multiple microsatellite makers. As good material for fish breeding and commercial fish culture (Yamamoto, 1999), some heterozygous clones were also generated by crossing between different clones. For wide

| Marker | Mother-2004 | 2004 | Clone 1 | Mother-3165 | 3165 | Clone 2 |
|--------------|-------------|---------|---------|-------------|---------|---------|
| Poli2TUF | 124/132 | 124/124 | 124/124 | 136/160 | 160/160 | 160/160 |
| Poli9TUF | 137/142 | 142/142 | 142/142 | 145/150 | 145/145 | 145/145 |
| Poli18TUF | 134/156 | 156/156 | 156/156 | 139/158 | 139/139 | 139/139 |
| Poli23TUF | 90/130 | 90/90 | 90/90 | 95/111 | 95/95 | 95/95 |
| Poli101TUF | 140/150 | 140/140 | 140/140 | 119/131 | 131/131 | 131/131 |
| Poli107TUF | 130/156 | 130/130 | 130/130 | 144/159 | 159/159 | 159/159 |
| Poli123TUF | 112/125 | 125/125 | 125/125 | 100/116 | 116/116 | 116/116 |
| Poli130TUF | 130/138 | 130/130 | 130/130 | 136/141 | 141/141 | 141/141 |
| Poli139TUF | 159/162 | 159/159 | 159/159 | 140/157 | 157/157 | 157/157 |
| Poli141TUF | 156/165 | 156/156 | 156/156 | 120/132 | 120/120 | 120/120 |
| Poli9-8TUF | 145/149 | 145/145 | 145/145 | 154/158 | 158/158 | 158/158 |
| Poli13TUF | 157/178 | 157/157 | 157/157 | 162/182 | 182/182 | 182/182 |
| Poli193TUF | 128/138 | 138/138 | 138/138 | 119/130 | 130/130 | 130/130 |
| Po13 | 212/216 | 216/216 | 216/216 | 222/226 | 226/226 | 226/226 |
| Poli16-79TUF | 118/139 | 118/118 | 118/118 | 133/148 | 133/133 | 133/133 |
| Poli12MHFS | 192/206 | 192/192 | 192/192 | 196/212 | 212/212 | 212/212 |
| Poli18-55TUF | 133/150 | 133/133 | 133/133 | 115/138 | 115/115 | 115/115 |
| Poli39MHFS | 188/194 | 188/188 | 188/188 | 209/213 | 213/213 | 213/213 |
| Poli174TUF | 134/164 | 134/134 | 134/134 | 131/161 | 161/161 | 161/161 |
| Poli182TUF | 112/150 | 112/112 | 112/112 | 118/152 | 152/152 | 152/152 |
| Po25A | 220/226 | 220/220 | 220/220 | 228/232 | 232/232 | 232/232 |

Table 2. Genotyping results of the 21 microsatellite markers in maternal parents and their progenies of Japanese flounder.

application of homozygous clones to commercial breeding, however, some key technologies are still required to work on, such as improvement of survival rate of mitotic gynogenetic diploids and homozygous clones from genetic and farming environmental aspects. In addition to its value of generating superior breeds of Japanese flounder in aquaculture, homozygous diploids and clonal lines derived from them, should also provide useful reagents for genomic and genetic studies of Japanese flounders.

Microsatellite markers have been used to ascertain the genetic status of mitotic gynogenetic diploids in African catfish (Galbusera et al., 2000), European sea bass (Bertotto et al., 2005), channel catfish (Waldbieser et al., 2010), as well as homozygous clones of Nile tilapia (Ezaz et al., 2004). The fact that the loci away from centromere exhibit high marker-centromere, recombination proportion has been reported in zebrafish (Kauffman et al., 1995), channel catfish (Liu et al., 1992), loach (Morishima et al., 2001), Japanese eel (Nomura et al. 2006), pink salmon (Matsuoka et al., 2004), barfin flounder (Lahrech et al., 2007), large yellow croaker (Li et al., 2007) and halfsmooth tongue sole (Ji et al., 2009). In this study, we identified 21 polymorphic microsatellite markers on the distal regions of 16 linkage groups, as polymorphic and of high marker-centromere recombination proportion in parental populations. This indicates that the genetic test is a reliable one for testing clones.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Ligeng Yang, Lingling Xue and Xiufeng Jiang for their help during the experiments. This research was financially supported by the earmarked fund for Modern Agro-industry Technology Research System (nycytx-50-G02) and Special Fund for Agro-scientific Research in the Public Interest (200903046) in China.

REFERENCES

- Arai K (2001). Genetic improvement of aquaculture finfish species by chromosome manipulation techniques in Japan. Aquaculture, 197: 205-228.
- Bertotto D, Cepollaro F, Libertini A, Barbaroa A, Francescona A, Belvedereb P, Barbaroa J, Colombob L (2005). Production of clonal founders in the European sea bass, *Dicentrarchus labrax* L, by mitotic gynogenesis. Aquaculture, 246: 115-124.
- Blin N, Stafford D (1976). A general method for isolation of high molecular weight DNA from eukaryotes. Nucleic Acids Res. 3: 2303-2308.
- Castaño-Sánchez C, Fuji K, Ozaki A, Hasegawa O, Sakamoto T, Morishima K, Nakayama I, Fujiwara A, Masaoka T, Okamoto H, Hayashida K, Tagami M, Kawai J, Hayashizaki Y, Okamoto N (2010). A second generation genetic linkage map of Japanese flounder (*Paralichthys olivaceus*). BMC Genomics, 11: p. 554.
- Coimbra MRM, Kobayashi K, Koretsugu S, Hasegawa O, Ohara E, Ozaki A, Sakamoto T, Naruse K, Okamoto N (2003). A genetic linkage map of the Japanese flounder, *Paralichthys olivaceus*. Aquaculture, 220: 203-218.

- Ezaz MT, McAndrew BJ, Penman DJ (2004). Spontaneous diploidization of the maternal chromosome set in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis nilotisul* L.) eggs. Aquacult. Res. 35: 271-277.
- Galbusera P, Volckaert FAM, Ollevier F (2000). Gynogenesis in the African catfish *Clarias gariepinus* (Burchell, 1822) III. Induction of endomitosis and the presence of residual genetic variation. Aquaculture, 185: 25-42.
- Han HS, Taniguchi N, Tsujimura A (1991). Production of clonal ayu by chromosome manipulation and confirmation by isozyme marker and tissue grafting. Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi, 57: 825-832.
- Ji XS, Chen SL, Liao XL, Yang JF, Xu TJ, Ma HY, Tian YS, Jiang YL, Wu PF (2009). Microsatellite-centromere mapping in *Cynoglossus* semilaevis using gynogenetic diploid families produced by the use of homologous and non-homologous sperm. Fish J. Biol. 75: 422-434.
- Kato K, Hayashi R, Yuasa D, Yamamoto S, Miyashita S, Murata O, Kumai H (2002). Production of cloned red sea bream, *Pagrus major*, by chromosome manipulation. Aquaculture, 207: 19-27.
- Kauffman EJ, Gestl EE, Kim DJ, Walker C, Hite JM, Yan G, Rogan PK, Johnson SL, Chen KC (1995). Microsatellite-centromere mapping in the zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). Genomics, 30: 337-341.
- Kobayashi T, Ide A, Hiasa T, Fushiki S, Ueno K (1994). Production of cloned amago salmon Oncorhynchus rhodurus. Fish. Sci. 60: 275-281.
- Komen H, Thorgaard GH (2007). Androgenesis, gynogenesis and the production of clones in fishes: A review. Aquaculture, 269(1-4): 150-173.
- Komen J, Bongers ABJ, Richter CJJ, van Muiswinkel WB, Huisman EA (1991). Gynogenesis in common carp (*Cyprinus carpio* L.) II. The production of homozygous gynogenetic clones and FI hybrids. Aquaculture, 92: 127-142.
- Lahrech Z, Kishioka C, Morishima K, Mori T, Saito S, Arai K (2007). Genetic verification of induced gynogenesis and microsatellitecentromere mapping in the barfin flounder, *Verasper moseri*. Aquaculture, 272(S1): 115-124.
- Li Y, Cai M, Wang Z, Guo W, Liu X, Wang X, Ning Y (2007). Microsatellite-centromere mapping in large yellow croaker (*Pseudosciaena crocea*) using gynogenetic diploid families. Mar. Biotechnol. 10(1): 83-90.
- Liao X, Shao W, Tian YS, Chen SL (2007). Polymorphic dinucleotide microsatellites in half-smooth tongue sole (*Cynoglossus semilaevis*). Mol. Ecol. Notes, 7: 1147-1149.
- Liu Q, Goudie A, Simco BA, Davis KB, Morizot DC (1992). Genecentromere mapping of six enzyme loci in gynogenetic channel catfish. J. Hered. 83: 245-248.
- Matsuoka MP, Gharrett AJ, Wilmot RL, Smoker WW (2004). Genecentromere distances of allozyme loci in even-and odd-year pink salmon, (*Oncorhynchus gorbuscha*). Genetica, 121: 1-11.

- Morishima K, Nakayama I, Arai K (2001). Microsatellite-centromere mapping in the loach, *Misgurnus anguillicaudatus*. Genetica, 111: 59-68.
- Mu"ller-Belecke A, Ho"rstgen-Schwark G (1995). Sex determination in tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) sex ratios in homozygous gynogenetic progeny and their offspring. Aquaculture, 137: 57-65.
- Mu"ller-Belecke A, Ho"rstgen-Schwark G (2000). Performance testing of clonal Oreochromis niloticus lines. Aquaculture, 184: 67-76.
- Naruse K, Ijiri K, Shima A, Egami N (1985). The Production of Cloned Fish in the Medaka (*Oryzias ktipes*). J. Exp. Zool. 236: 335-341.
- Nomura K, Morishima K, Tanaka H, Unuma T, Okuzawa K, Ohta H, Katsutoshi A (2006). Microsatellite-centromere mapping in the Japanese eel (*Anguilla japonica*) by half-tetrad analysis using induced triploid families. Aquaculture, 257: 53-67.
- Purdom CE, Thompson D, Lou YD (1985). Genetic engineering in rainbow trout, Salmo gairdnerii Richardson, by the suppression of meiotic and mitotic metaphase. J. Fish Biol. 27: 73-79.
- Quillet E, Garcia P, Guyomard R (1991). Analysis of the Production of All Homozygous Lines of Rainbow Trout by Gynogenesis. J. Exp. Zool. 257: 367-374.
- Streisinger G, Walker C, Dower N, Knauber D, Singer F (1981). Production of clones of homozigous diploid zebra fish (*Brachydanio rerio*). Nature, 291: 293-296.
- Taguchi Y (1981) Characters of fishes as laboratory animals, 3. Genetic purification of fishes, in "Fishes, as Experimental Animals" (ed. by N. Egami), Soft Science Inc., Tokyo. pp. 9-21 (in Japanese).
- Thorgaard GH (1983). Chromosome set manipulation and sex control in fish, in "Fish Physiology" (ed. by W. S. Hoar, D. J. Randall, and E. M. Donaldson), Vol. 9, Academic Press, New York. pp. 405-434.
- Waldbieser GC, Bosworth BG, Quiniou SMA (2010). Production of Viable Homozygous, Doubled Haploid Channel Catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*). Mar. Biotechnol. 12(4): 380-385.
- Yamamoto E (1999). Studies on sex-manipulation and production of cloned populations in hirame, *Paralichthys olivaceus* (Temminck et Schlegel). Aquaculture, 173: 235-246.
- Yamamoto E (1999). The development of the techniques to induce all female offspring and clones in Japanese flounder. Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi, 65: 638-641.