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#### Abstract

Some aspects of the reproductive biology of Tilapia mariae of Umuoseriche man-made lake such as fecundity of female fishes was determined gravimetrically after the sex had been established. The ratio of male to female T. mariae of Umuoseriche Lake was 1:1.56. The numbers of female fishes were greater in $110-145 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $185-195 \mathrm{~mm}$ size groups. Males dominated the $135-175 \mathrm{~mm}$ size group. Gonadosomatic index ranged between 1.4 and 3.4 with a mean of $2.25 \pm 0.7$. Mean ovarian weight was 1.7 $\pm 0.3 \mathrm{~g}$ and a mean fecundity of $1705 \pm 629$ eggs/ clutch.
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## INTRODUCTION

Cichlid fishes have a worldwide distribution but are known to have originated from Africa and Madagascar where they are important to the economy and ecology of the ecosystems, which they inhabit. The reason for their wide distribution is probably connected with their prolific reproductive habits (Fryer and lles, 1972; Barker and Ibrahim, 1979). Cichlids namely, Chromidotilapia guentheri (Sauvage, 1882), Tilapia mariae (Boulenger, 1901), Tilapia zilli (Gervais, 1848), Tilapia cabrae (Boulenger, 1899), and Tilapia guineensis constitute $92 \%$ of the fishery of Umuoseriche Lake. T. mariae particularly accounts for about $37 \%$ by number and $35 \%$ by weight to total catch of cichlid fishes (Anene, 1998).
The reproductive biology of cichlids has been widely reported for East African species (Welcomme, 1967; Hyder, 1970; Fryer and lles, 1972; Schwanck, 1987). Within Nigeria, studies on the reproductive biology of cichlids have been concentrated in the southwest (Fagade, 1978, 1983; Adebisi, 1987). The conception, construction and commissioning of Kainji Lake evoked interest on the cichlids of the newly formed lake in the Northern region (Akintunde and Imevbore, 1979; Oni et al., 1983;

[^0]Omotosho, 1987). There is a dearth of information on the reproductive ability of cichlid fishes in southeast Nigeria. The only exception is a report on the reproductive biology of a number of cichlid fishes in Sombreiro River (Nwadiaro, 1987), and Chromidotilapia guentheri
(Sauvage, 1882) of Umuoseriche Lake (Anene, 2004).
This study, amongst other objectives, seeks to investigate the reproductive potentials of $T$. mariae, information that is required for the commercial production of the fish.

## METHODS

## Study area

The Umuoseriche is a man-made lake, located in Oguta, Imo State, southeast Nigeria ( $5^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ and $5038^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ) (Figure 1) (Anene, 1999). The mean monthly physico-chemical characteristics of the lake have been published (Anene, 2001) and include water level of $1.91 \pm 0.32 \mathrm{~m}$, transparency of $0.54 .0 \pm 0.07 \mathrm{~m}$, conductivity of $8.84 \pm 4.91 \mu \mathrm{~S}$ and pH of $6.42 \pm 0.81$. The level of dissolved oxygen, biological oxygen demand and alkalinity were $6.12 \pm 1.41 \mathrm{O}_{2} / \mathrm{l}, 0.94 \pm 0.22 \mathrm{O}_{2} / \mathrm{l}$ and $5.78 \pm 1.4$ $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3} / \mathrm{r}$ respectively.

## Sampling strategy

Sampling was routinely done monthly between April 2001 and March 2002. Sampling time was between 0900 and 1800 h . A cast


Figure 1. Location of the Umuoseriche lake (dotted area).
net ( 15 mm stretched mesh size) and four gillnets with various stretched mesh sizes (20.2, 25.3, 30.4 and 40.1 mm ) each measuring 12 by 2 m was used for sampling. During each sampling trip, five sampling operations were made using each of the nets on the shallow margins characterized by submerged and emergent vegetation, while the same number of operations was made in the open deeper waters bringing the total number of operations to 10 operations per trip. Sampling in the shallow margins and the deeper open waters was simultaneously done. The procedure used for the sampling operation was a four-man active seining methodology which is a similar to the experimental trawl fishing technique described by Schroeder (1984) and the one-man active seining methodology described by Wingate and Schupp (1985). In the four man active seining technique, one end of the net is tied to a pole with the lead end as close as possible to the bottom. One of the men was positioned at this end of the net to help keep the pole in position. One other man then stretched the loose end of the net with the net in position to a distance equal to its length. The same man then moved around an imaginary circle whose circumference is about 12 m in order to enclose a volume of water and all the fish therein. Two other men were positioned in between both ends of the net to help keep the net in position as well keep the lead end as close to the bottom of the lake as possible. When the loose end touches the fixed end, the net is slowly pulled. The float line is pulled faster than the lead line so that it rolls over to form a crib. The operation is completed when all the net converges at the fixed end and all the entrapped fish removed. This operation lasts for 40 45 min .

## Parameters studied

Six hundred and forty five mature females of $T$. mariae were used for the study. Fish samples were differentiated into separate sexes using both the naked eye and a microscope. The numbers of specimens in each sex were recorded. Temporal changes in the sex ratio were analyzed using the chi-square statistics. The sex ratio was compared with an expected ratio of 1:1.
Measurements of total length (TL), standard length (SL), were made to the nearest 0.1 mm using a measuring board. Fish specimens were segregated into various size groups and the ratio of male to female fish in each size group was also analyzed using the contingency chi-square statistics. Body weight (BW), ovarian weight (OW) and gutted weight (GW) were made to the nearest 0.1 g using an electronic weighing balance.
Gonads were preserved in 5\% formalin for 2-3 months during which period they were periodically agitated to separate ova from ovarian tissue. Later, the formalin was decanted and the ovaries washed three times by running clean water through a funnel and filter paper in which they were placed. Clumps of eggs were gently teased with dissecting needle. Gentle shaking the eggs on a dry filter paper absorbed excess moisture. The ovaries were then weighed on an electronic weighing balance to the nearest 0.001 mg and were then thoroughly mixed in small transparent polyethylene bags from where two sub-samples were obtained and weighed. The number of eggs in each sub-sample was counted. The fecundity (F) of each fish was estimated from the relationship according to Babiker and Ibrahim (1979).
$F=1 / 2\left(N_{1} / W_{1}+N_{2} / W_{2}\right) W$
Where $N_{1}=$ number of eggs in the first sub-sample with weight $W_{1}$; $\mathrm{N}_{2}=$ number of eggs in the second sub-sample with weight $\mathrm{W}_{2}$; and $\mathrm{W}=$ total weight of the pair of ovary from which the sub-samples were obtained. Gonadosomatic index (GSI) was estimated as the ratio of gonad weight to body weight of fish.

The relationship between fecundity ( $F$ ) with the independent variables (X) total length (TL), standard length (SL), gutted weight (GW), body weight (BW) and ovarian weight (OW) were expressed according to Bagenal and Braum (1978) as:
$F=a x^{b}$
Where $a$ is a constant and $b$ the regression co-efficient. The constants a and b were empirically determined using a calculator after a logarithmic transformation of raw data. The co-efficient of correlation ( $r$ ) between fecundity ( $F$ ) and other variables was tested at the appropriate degree of freedom (d. f.) at 0.001 and 0.05 probability levels of significance. Fertility co-efficient (FC) was estimated according to the equation of Riedel (1969):
$\mathrm{FC}=\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{TL}^{3}$
Where $E=$ number of eggs produced and $T L=$ total length of female fish (mm).

## RESULTS

## Sex

A total of five hundred and eighteen samples of $T$. mariae with observable gonads were used for the study. There were 202 ( $39 \%$ ) males and 316 ( $61 \%$ ) were females (Table 1). This gives a male/female ratio of 1:1.56 in favour of the females $\left(x^{2}=3.976, d f=1, p \leq 0.01\right)$. Males

Table 1. Monthly proportion (\%) of Male and Female T. mariae.

| Month | Males |  | Females |  | Male : Female | $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{2}}$ ratio |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ratio |  |  |  |  |  |
| April | 16 | 20 | 64 | 80 | $1: 4$ | $28.8^{\star}$ |
| May | 18 | 56 | 14 | 44 | $1: 0.8$ | $0.5^{\mathrm{ns}}$ |
| June | 18 | 25 | 54 | 75 | $1: 3$ | $18.0^{*}$ |
| July | 16 | 50 | 17 | 50 | $1: 1$ | - |
| August | 18 | 35 | 34 | 65 | $1: 1.9$ | $4.92^{\star}$ |
| September | 16 | 50 | 16 | 50 | $1: 1$ | - |
| October | 16 | 50 | 16 | 50 | $1: 1$ | - |
| November | 20 | 50 | 20 | 50 | $1: 1$ | - |
| December | 16 | 50 | 16 | 50 | $1: 1$ | $1.2^{\mathrm{ns}}$ |
| January | 18 | 60 | 12 | 40 | $1: 0.6$ | $1.2^{\mathrm{ns}}$ |
| February | 14 | 44 | 18 | 56 | $1: 1.2$ | $0.5^{\mathrm{ns}}$ |
| March | 16 | 30 | 36 | 70 | $1: 2.3$ | $7.6^{\star}$ |

*Significantly different; ns = no significant difference.

Table 2. Percentage composition of male and female $T$. mariae in the different size groups.

| Size Group <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | Males |  | Females |  | Male : Female <br> ratio | $\mathbf{x}^{2}$ ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (N) | $\%$ | Number (N) | $\%$ |  |  |
| $110-125$ | 19 | 25 | 57 | 75 | $1: 3$ | $19.0^{*}$ |
| $125-135$ | 24 | 23 | 80 | 77 | $1: 3.3$ | $30.1^{*}$ |
| $135-145$ | 21 | 30 | 49 | 70 | $1: 2.3$ | $11.2^{*}$ |
| $145-155$ | 45 | 50 | 45 | 50 | $1: 1$ | - |
| $155-165$ | 40 | 80 | 10 | 20 | $1: 0.25$ | $18.0^{*}$ |
| $165-175$ | 30 | 70 | 13 | 30 | $1: 0.4$ | $6.7^{*}$ |
| $175-185$ | 28 | 50 | 28 | 50 | $1: 1$ | - |
| $185-195$ | 15 | 30 | 35 | 70 | $1: 2.3$ | $8.0^{*}$ |

*Significantly different.
occurred more in the months of May (2001) and January (2002). There were more females in April, June, August (2001) and February and March (2002).

There is a significant difference $\left(x^{2}=122.79, \mathrm{df}=7, \mathrm{p}\right.$ $\leq 0.01$ ) in the proportion of both male/females in the four different size groups of $T$. mariae (Table 2). Females dominated the three lowest ( $110-125 \mathrm{~mm}, 125-135$ mm and $135-145 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) and the largest ( $185-195 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) size group. Males dominated two middle size groups namely $155-165 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $165-175 \mathrm{~mm}$.

## Gonadosomatic index (GSI) and breeding cyclicity

The gonadosomatic index (GSI) of $T$. mariae was $2.25 \pm$ 0.67 ranging between 1.4 and 3.4. Mean ovarian weight was $1.7 \pm 0.3 \mathrm{~g}$. Peak GSI values occurred in the months of April, June, August, December (2001) and February (2002) (Figure 2). These months, which can be in-
terpreted as peak-breeding periods occurred in alternate months and this probably indicates that the cycle of ovarian maturation and spawning takes about sixty days.

## Fecundity

The number of eggs per clutch of $T$. mariae ranged from 424 to 2781 with a mean of $1705 \pm 629$ eggs/clutch. The number of eggs produced varied greatly even within the same size group. The fertility co-efficient for the fish under study was $0.49 \pm 0.11$. Fertility co-efficient did not have any correlation with the weight. Fecundity was positively correlated with total length (TL), standard length (SL), body weight (BW), gutted weight (GW) and ovarian weight (OW) (Table 3). It is worthy to note that fecundity varied with total length by a factor of 2.49 and standard length of 2.11 (Table 3).


Figure 2. Monthly variation in gonadosomatic index of $T$. mariae of Umuoseriche lake.

## DISCUSSION

The overall male : female ratio of $T$. mariae of Umuoseriche Lake was in favour of the female, an observation which is consistent with that made for the same species of fish in a tropical rain forest stream (King, 1994). The observed predominance in the number of female fishes in this study may also result from the fact that females were more susceptible to exploitation than the males. The dominance in the number of females in the relatively small and large size groups may be either due to sex reversal or differences in the rate of activity between male and female fishes. However, there is no apparent morphological evidence to suggest that sex reversal accounted for the dominance in number in particular sex groups of $T$. mariae.

The monthly values of gonadosomatic index (GSI) and the presence of ripe ovaries of $T$. mariae throughout the duration of this study indicate a polycyclic breeding habit with peak periods of breeding occurring in alternate months. The time it takes for spawning to reach its peak is interpreted to mean the time it takes for the ovaries to mature prior to spawning. Dadzie (1969) and Dadzie and Wangila (1980) have reported a polycyclic nature of spawning in T. mossambica and T. zilli. Observations of this study reveal ovarian maturation/spawning duration of about sixty days. This falls within the record of $1-3$ months for the same species of fish (King, 1994). Schwanck (1987) was more specific and reported that $T$. mariae in Ethiope River showed a clear lunar synchronization in breeding. Transparency in Umuoseriche Lake was $54.0 \pm 0.7 \mathrm{~cm}$ (Anene and Nwachukwu, 2001) as against the situation in Ethiope River where the bottom of the river was visible from a distance of up to 2.0 m (Schwanck, 1987). This singular environmental factor may be responsible for the difference in duration of

Table 3. Functional equation showing the relationship between fecundity ( F ) and other variables ( X ).

| Functional equation ( $\mathrm{F}=\mathbf{a} \mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{b}}$ ) | r | df | p |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{FC}=0.858(\mathrm{BW})^{-0.15}$ | -0.303 | 68 | 0.001 |
| $F=0.006(\mathrm{TL})^{2.44}$ | 0.952 | 68 | 0.001 |
| $\mathrm{F}=0.071(\mathrm{SL})^{2.11}$ | 0.744 | 68 | 0.05 |
| $F=18.499(B W)^{1.0}{ }^{1.2}$ | 0.817 | 68 | 0.05 |
| $\mathrm{F}=20.99$ (GW) ${ }^{1.01}$ | 0.872 | 68 | 0.05 |
| $\mathrm{F}=448.81(\mathrm{OW})^{\text {0 } 2 \mathrm{Y} .44}$ | 0.872 | 68 | 0.05 |

ovarian maturation/spawning. The description of $T$. mariae of Umuoseriche pond points to the fact that it belongs to another sub-species (Anene, 1999) and this provides another possible reason for the difference in the duration of ovarian maturation/spawning.
Moonlight improves guarding efficiency and enhances survival of broods in fishes that show parental care (Schwanck, 1987). The case of T. mariae in this study is particularly intriguing because gas is flared in an oil location within 400 m from the lake. The gas flare provides an all round supply of light with an intensity which may equal (or surpass) that of the full moon and should similarly impact on the duration of ovarian maturation/spawning. Insofar as light may be important in determining breeding periodicity, turbidity or some other environment variable specific to the locality may constitute a more important factor.
The fecundity of $T$. mariae in this report compares favourably with literature value of other cichlid fish (Fryer and lles, 1972; Nwadiaro, 1987; King, 1994). T. mariae used for this study were in relatively good condition (Anene, 2005) and this may account for the observed fecundity. As expected, fecundity was positively and linearly correlated with total length, standard length, body weight, and ovarian weight (Bagenal and Braum, 1978; Fagade, 1983). The fecundity-length relationship produced regression co-efficient of 2.11 with respect to total length and the value of 2.11 can be approximate to equal 2.0 while the same cannot be said for the value of 2.49 which is intermediate between 2.0 and 3.0. The exponent of 2.49 of fecundity, total length relationship in T. mariae confirms that their reproductive characteristic is intermediate between substrate and mouth breeding, an observation which has also been reported by Whitehead (1962). The estimate for fertility co-efficient of T. mariae is similar to the same estimate for T. mossamica (Riedel, 1965), which provide the only data for comparison. This co-efficient was negatively correlated to body weight, probably indicating that smaller, lighter fish invest more energy in egg production than bigger, heavier fish.
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