

African Journal of Agricultural Economics and Rural Development ISSN 2375-0693 Vol. 7 (2), pp. 001-006, February, 2019. Available online at www.internationalscholarsjournals.org © International Scholars Journals

Author(s) retain the copyright of this article.

Full Length Research Paper

Socio-economic conditions of peasant farmers: the case of agricultural technologies' sustainability in southwest Nigeria

Ogunsumi Lucia Omobolanle

Institute of Agricultural Research and Training, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ibadan, Nigeria, E-mail:lucyogunsumi@yahoo.com

Accepted 15 November, 2018

Agricultural productivity and total annual food and fibre production in Nigeria are pitiably poor much below expectation. This study examined socio-economic conditions of peasant farmers and the consequences on agricultural technologies in Southwest, Nigeria. Structured interview schedules as well as in- depth study devices were used to collect data, which were analyzed using appropriate descriptive and inferential statistics. The study revealed, though both categories of farmers had most demographic characteristics in common, sustained users were older and had larger farm size. The study further revealed that there were significant positive correlations between age and adoption pattern (r = 0.16), age and soybean adoption level (r = 0.15), age and cassava adoption level (r = 0.14), organizational membership and extension contact (r = 0.21), factors affecting sustained use of maize and cassava technologies (r = 0.09) while a negative significant correlation exists between factors affecting sustained use of maize technology and extension contact (r = -0.15). There were also significant positive correlations between attitude of farmers towards improved technologies and factors affecting the sustained use of maize technologies (r = 0.44). However, policy makers and rural development workers should be conscious of the fact that sustained users are older and therefore are likely to be more conservative to changes. It should be noted that younger people are moving away from agriculture and that both categories of farmers require constant contact with the extension services if their current condition is to be improved substantially.

Key words: Technologies, farmers, food production, extension, adoption.

INTRODUCTION

In West Africa, population density decreases from the coastal and humid forests in the south towards the transition zone in the middle, and it increases again in certain areas of the dry semi humid savanna in the north (Weischet and Cariedes, 1993). Most of the agricultural farms are on small -scale cultivation varying from 0.1 to 10 ha, making the farmers peasant in nature (Olayide, 1980; Ogunfiditimi, 1983: Ochai, 1995; Ogunsumi, 2004).

Generally, the road infrastructure is deficient in West Africa especially in Nigeria, bringing a major constraint to marketing of the produce where applicable. Manyong et al. 1996 concluded that major centres in the south have attracted both international and national funds to maintain roads in good condition. However, the poor quality of road infrastructure increases marketing margins of inputs, making things more expensive for small-scale farmers especially compared to staple food prices.

The growth rate of total food production between 1970 and 1998 showed a decline in food production (CBN, 1997). This is due to the fact that the period 1970 -1980 coincided with the oil boom era. The high demand for food was met by cheaper and higher guality imported food items, which cannot be sustained and led to rural urban migration (Falusi, 1997). After 1980, the problem of foreign exchange started to set in due to decline in petroleum export earnings. This however, led to some extreme policy responses. For instance, an embargo was placed on the importation of cereals and other selected food products. As imports declined, consumers were compelled to patronise local items. Farmers responded favourably to the selected demand for local food. As a result both the total and per capital food production increased substantially between 1981 and 1985 (FAO. 1990). This trend continued. Total food production rose

Group	Sustained users Abandoned users All				Respondents		
	Freq %		F	Freq %	Freq %		
Group	N= 96		1	N = 55	N = 151		
Social status			47.27				
Village Head	8	8.33	4	7.27	12	7.95	
Chieftaincy title	15	15.63	4	7.27	19	12.58	
Household head	72	75.00	45	81.82	117	77.48	
Others	1	1.04	2	3.64	3	1.99	
Religious Denomination		N=133		N =75		N=208	
Christianity	74	55.64	50	66.67	124	59.62	
Islam	57	42.86	23	30.67	80	38.46	
Traditional worshipers	2	1.50	2	2.67	4	1.92	

Table 1. Distribution of respondents according to their social status and religious affiliation.

Source: Ogunsumi, 2004.

from 14.13 percent in 1986 to 26.70 percent in 1997, it dropped, but rose again to 20.16 percent in 1998 and dropped even then to 0.31 since 1999. Subsequently, the high cost of production caused by high prices of fertilizers and agro-chemicals, as well as high cost of labour depressed food production (Farinde, 1995; Garforth et al., 2003).

Furthermore, the contribution of agriculture to Gross Domestic Product (GDP) valued at 1984 factor cost was N54, 148.9 m in 1970, N96, 098.5 m in 1977 which increased to N111, 070 m in 1997. The capital allocation to agriculture increased tremendously from N33.9m in 1981 to N1, 682.2 m in 1997. The GDP, which had declined at the rate of 2 percent per annum, registered a positive growth rate of 5 percent per annum during 1970 to 1986 only (FOS,1997 and CBN, 2000). Also, government inconsistent import policies, its unrealistic exchange rate and industrial policy based on imported raw materials, the rising fiscal and trade imbalances and the mounting international debt, all have had a significant negative impact on economic growth (CBN, 2000).

However, the overall objectives of the nation for selfsufficiency in food production have not been fully achieved as petroleum currently provides nearly 90 percent of the foreign exchange earnings and 80 percent of government revenue. In order to provide the enabling amount from the agricultural sector to fulfil its roles, there had been investments on agricultural research and extension services at various times.

Research reports have indicated that smallholder farmers who constitute about seventy percent of the rural population sustain Nigerian agriculture. As a result of these food crops like roots, tubers and vegetables are cultivated predominantly in the rain forest zone of the south, grains and cereals are cultivated in the savanna zone of the north (Igbozurike, 1971; FAO, 1984; FOS, 1997; Townsen, 1998).

Therefore the objective of this study is to determine the conditions of peasant farmers in relation to their socio-

economic characteristics and the consequences on agricultural technology sustainability in Southwest Nigeria.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

The multi- stage sampling procedure was used to randomly select three states namely Oyo, Osun and Ondo where adoption (full or partial) of soybean recommended technologies had been reported (IAR and T, 2000).

The second stage of the sampling procedure consists of purposive selection of two zones of ADP per state; however only one zone was eventually considered fit for Ondo State for logistic reasons. This represents at least 50 percent of the zones in the States respectively. The zones are Saki and Ibadan/Ibarapa in Oyo State, Iwo and Ife/Ijesha in Osun State and Akure in Ondo state.

Stage three consists of random selection of two blocks from the lists of blocks per zone where adoption of the technologies in Akinyele in Oyo State; Iwo, Ejigbo, Ijebu question had taken place. The blocks selected were Saki, Igboho, Ido and jesha and Atakumosa in Osun State: Ishua and Ibule in Ondo State.

Stage four comprised of four cells selected randomly representing 50% of the selected blocks.

Lastly, stage five was the purposive random selection of three farmers' households who have sustained use of the technologies and three farmers' households that abandoned the technologies from the list of farmers that had adopted the technologies. This was derived from a preliminary survey that was carried out with the assistance of Extension staff of the ADPs. This helped in identifying the farmers that had adopted selected technologies within a stipulated period of time. The time frame chosen was between 1990 and 1995, this period recorded high adoption rates in the three crops according to ADPs' reports.

The proposed sample size amounted to a total of 240 households for both sustained users and abandoned users of technology. However, a sample size of 208 farmers' households was eventually considered for the survey, being the group having adequate information required for the survey. The distribution of the sample in the five zones is shown in Table 1.

In-depth interviews were conducted with some experienced personnel in the community who were sustained and abandoned users to elicit information to substantiate other findings.

The use of primary and secondary data was employed for this study. Secondary data were the information obtained from literature, project reports, official documents, publications, and consultation and library materials among others. Primary data were collected through the use of a structured and validated questionnaire consisting of both open and closed- ended questions to elicit information from the target respondents. Data collected for this study were coded and entered for computer analysis. The data analysis was carried out using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) . Descriptive statistics such as frequencies, percentages, means, standard deviation and ranges were used. Pearson Product Moment Correlation (PPMC) was used to test relationships between age, income, and farm size, level of awareness and attitude on one hand and sustained use of technologies on the other.

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test for a difference in the sustained use of technologies among the three states. All were analyzed at 0.05 level of significance.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Social status

Majority of the respondents being household heads denotes that major decision on agriculture activities are influenced by the respondents. It also affirms that the respondents were responsible as others belong to one form of social status or the other (Table 1).

Iwueke (1987) also found out that social participation was one of the variables that positively related to the farmers' decision to adopt new practices and that the adoption of small scale farmers could not be predicted on the basis of family size and farming experience but by peer-group's decisions. This study however agrees with his findings as 77.48% of the respondents were household heads (Table 1).

The most popular religions among respondents were Christianity and Islam. As presented in Table 1 which implies that farmers in the study area were religious and were committed to serve God.

Investigation on respondents' involvement in different organisation gave an insight into their involvement in the different organisational groups. Majority of farmers were members of cooperative society. Farmers belong to more than one organisational group. Majority of them also play roles of holding one office or the other.

The involvement of the respondents in all the categories of organization investigated into in this study shows a form of social participation. Daane and Mangbo (1991), in their study asserted that group participation, a framework by which farmers defend and negotiate their interest. Iwueke (1987) further reported that social participation was one of the variables positively related to farmers' decision to adopt new practices but that the adoption of small-scale farmers could not be predicted on the basis of their farm size and experience.

About 57% sustained users were members of cooperative society while 4.80% held one or the other office in the co-operative society and 2.40% of the sustained users had no involvement in co-operative group. 31% of the abandoned users were members of co-operative group while 4.80% were officers. As for the age group only 5.29% of the sustained users were members against 1.92% for abandoned users. A large proportion of the respondents that were not involved in the age group might imply that age group is not popular organisation in the study area. However, 46.15% of the sustained users were members and 1.44% held offices while 16.35 were not involved. For the abandoned users, 12.50% were not involved while 19.20% were involved in village council membership. About 58.00% of the sustained users were members of agricultural extension committee, none of them held any office while only 33.17% of the abandoned users were members. 8.65% of the respondents were not involved.

About 55% of the sustained users were members of farm leadership council while only 31.25% of abandoned users were members were not involved and 1.4 percent was officers in all. About 40% of sustained users were not involved in social clubs membership as against 25.96% of abandoned users. Generally about 27 percent of the respondents were not involved in any religious society membership while 14.42% were members and 31.25% were officers from only 12.02% and 14% were abandoned users that were members and officers respectively (Table 2).

There was no significant difference in the adoption pattern of technology use, factors affecting sustained use, pattern of resource use, organizational membership, extension contact, attitude of farmers towards improved technology, output and income among farmers in the three states when ANOVA was used. Sustained users had a higher level of each of these variables. However, it was found that farmers that sustained the use of technologies were not significantly different in adoption pattern of technology (F = 0.26), extension contact (F = 0.0.16), attitude towards improved technology (F = 0.21), and organizational membership (F = 1.16), (Table 2).

The study revealed that there were significant positive correlations between age and adoption pattern (r = 0.16), age and soybean adoption level (r = 0.15), age and cassava adoption level (r = 0.14), organizational membership and extension contact (r = 0.21), factors affecting sustained use of maize and cassava technologies (r = 0.09) while a negative significant correlation exists between factors affecting sustained use of maize technology and extension contact (r = -0.15). There were also significant positive correlations between attitude of farmers towards improved technologies (r = 0.44), (Table 3 and 4).

Conclusion and Recommendation

As regards adoption characteristics, the study found that with demographic characteristics it is a fact that both sustained users and abandoned users cultivated similar crops in most cases, used family land and inherited land

	Sustained users N= 133		Abandoned	users N=75	All Respondents N=208		
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	
Cooperative							
No involvement	5	3.76	-	-	5	2.40	
Member	118	88.72	65	86.67	183	87.98	
Officer	10	7.52	10	13.33	20	9.61	
Age group							
No involvement	122	91.73	71	94.67	193	92.79	
Member	11	8.27	4	5.33	15	7.21	
Officer	-		-	-	-	-	
Village council							
No involvement	34	25.56	26	34.67	60	28.45	
Member	96	72.18	49	65.33	145	69.71	
Officer	3	2.26	-	-	3	1.44	
Agric, Ext Committee							
No involvement	12	9.02	6	8.00	18	8.65	
Member	121	91.98	69	92.00	190	91.35	
Officer	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Women in							
agriculture	128	96.24	72	96.00	200	96.15	
No involvement	5	3.76	3	4.00	8	3.85	
Member	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Officer							
Farm leadership							
No involvement	17	12.78	8	10.67	25	12.02	
Member	115	86.47	65	86.67	180	86.50	
Officer	1	0.75	2	2.67	3	1.44	
Social clubs							
No involvement	91	68.42	54	72.00	145	69.71	
Member	38	28.57	19	25.33	57	27.40	
Officer	4	3.01	2	2.67	6	2.88	
Religious society							
No involvement	38	28.57	19	25.33	57	27.40	
Member	30	22.57	25	33.33	55	26.44	
Officer	65	48.87	31	41.33	96	46.15	
Other organisation							
No involvement	129	96.99	74	98.67	203	97.59	
Member	3	2.26	1	1.33	4	1.92	
Officer	1	0.75	-	-	1	0.48	

Table 2. Distribution of respondents according to types of organisation and position held.

they heavily relied on family and hired labour as source of farm labor resource. Whole package of recommendations of the three crops studied were not fully adopted by the two categories of farmers, only partial adoption took place among the farmers. The expected output from research reports could not be attained since the whole package was not adopted. This allowed the ease of abandoning of the initially adopted technologies by 36.04% of the farmers. Consequent upon the above, farmer should be made to adopt whole generally package and sustain them to improve their productivity. In this regard, workable poli-cies should be formulated such that all stake holders involved in rural development, including agricultural extension services work with sustained users of technolo-gies and encourage adoption of total package by delimit-ing existing constraints. Therefore, it is suggested that all agricultural development schemes and interventions in the study area should give a focus on sustaining the use of agricultural techno logies. Spelling out total adoption to actualize research findings on farm-

VARIA	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.	
ORGMEMB	Between Groups	4.832	2	2.42	1.16	.32
	Within Groups	427.548	205	2.09		
	Total	432.380	207			
RESOURCE USE	Between Groups	.192	2	9.589E-02	.16	.86
	Within Groups	126.558	205	.617		
	Total	126.750	207			
FACMAIZ	Between Groups	17.589	2	8.80	.37	.69
	Within Groups	4923.931	205	24.02		
	Total	4941.519	207			
FACCASS	Between Groups	53.538	2	26.77	.32	.73
	Within Groups	17091.880	205	83.38		
	Total	17145.418	207			
FACSOY	Between Groups	33.090	2	16.55	.34	.71
	Within Groups	10022.290	205	48.889		
	Total	10055.380	207			
ΑΤΤΤ	Between Groups	.616	2	.308	.21	.818
	Within Groups	296.903	205	1.448		
	Total	297.519	207			
OUTPUT	Between Groups	22.724	2	11.362	.26	.774
	Within Groups	9092.540	205	44.354		
	Total	9115.264	207			
STOT	Between Groups	28.107	2	14.053	2.31	.101
	Within Groups	1244.850	205	6.072		
	Total	1272.957	207			
стот	Between Groups	9.229	2	4.615	.75	.473
	Within Groups	1260.002	205	6.146		
	Total	1269.231	207			
мтот	Between Groups	9.229	2	4.615	.75	.473
	Within Groups	1260.002	205	6.146		
	Total	1269.231	207			

 Table 3. Analysis of variance showing respondents' socio-economic traits and sustainability of agricultural technology.

Source: Field Survey data, 2002. Age = age of respondents; ORGAMEMB= Respondents' membership into organization. EXTCONT= Farmers contact with extension agents; FACMAIZ=Factors affecting maize technology sustainability. FACCASS=Factors affecting cassava technology sustainability; FACSOY=Factors affecting soybean technology sustainability. ATT= Farmers' attitude towards improved technology; SCMTOT= Total adoption index for the selected technologies. STOT= Soybean adoption index; CTOT= Cassava adoption index MTOT= Maize adoption scores; NS.aTp value, 0.05; *=sig at $p \subseteq 0.05$ level.

Variables	Age	Orgamemb	Extcont	Facmaiz	Factcass	Facsoy	Att	Scmtot	Stot	стот	мтот
Age		-0.03	0.06	-0.08	0.04	-0.91	0.04	0.16**	0.15*	0.14*	0.13
Orgamemb	-0.30		0.21**	0.01	0.06	0.02	0.03	-0.02	-0.08	0.03	-0.01
Extcont	0.06	0.21**		-0.15*	-0.03	-0.02	-0.10	0.06	0.01	0.09	0.06
Facmaiz	-0.08	0.01	-0.15*		0.09**	0.88**	0.44**	-0.11	-0.09	-0.08	-0.12
Faccass	0.00	0.06	-0.03	0.90**		0.84**	0.34**	-0.09	-0.08	-0.08	-0.09
Facsoy	-0.9	0.02	-0.02	0.89**	0.84**		0.33**	-0.12	-0.09	-0.09	-0.13
Att	0.04	0.03	-0.10	0.44**	0.34**	0.33		-0.09	-0.07	-0.09	-0.07
Scmtot	0.16*	-0.02	0.06	-0.11	-0.09	-0.12	-0.09		0.88**	0.88**	0.93**
STOT	0.15*	-0.08	0.01	-0.09	-0.08	-0.09	-0.07	0.88**		0.60**	0.74**
СТОТ	0.14*	0.03	0.09	-0.08	-0.08	-0.09	-0.09	0.88**	0.60**		0.74**
мтот	0.13	-0.01	0.06	-0.12	-0.09	-0.13	-0.07	0.93**	0.74**	0.74**	1.00

Table 4. Correlation matrix showing relationships among selected variables

er's fields. Once farmers are aware of concise efforts geared towards total adoption and sustaining adopted technologies, they would gear up and organize themselves so as to benefit from such programmes and improve their wellbeing.

As revealed from this study, though both categories of farmers had most socio-economic characteristics in common, sustained users were older and had larger farm size. This implies that sustained users and abandoned users in most cases shared common background and as such programmes aimed at improving the living conditions of rural farmers need not be dichotomized on the basis of these characteristics. However, policy makers and rural development workers should be conscious of the fact that sustained users are older and therefore are likely to be more conservative to changes. It should be noted that younger people are moving away from agriculture. It should also be noted that both categories of farmers require constant contact with the extension services. If their current condition is to be improved substantially.

REFERENCES

- Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) (2000). Statistical Bulletin. . 10. 1 and 2. Daane JR, Mongbo RL (1991). Peasant influence on development project in Benin. Geneva Afrique. . 29 (2): 50 75.
- Falusi AO (1997). Concept papers for Phase two of National Agricultural Research Project
- Farinde AJ (1995). Effective Agricultural Technology Transfer: Need for a NODAL Extension Delivery Body for Sustainable Development in Rural Nigeria". Proceedings of the 8th Annual Conference of Nigeria Rural Sociological Association pp. 119 – 131.
- Federal Office Statistics (FOS),(1997). Annual Abstract of Statistics. Lagos Food and Agriculture Organization (FOA) (1990). Africa Agriculture: The next 25 years Main Report Rome, Italy. 1984. Production Year Book for 1983. Rome Italy.p. 25.

- Garforth C, Anhell B, Archer J, Green K (2003). Improving farmers' access to Advice on land management Lessons for case study in developed countries.. Agric. Res. and Ext. Net. 125:1 8.
- Igbozurike MU (1971). Biological Balance in Tropical Agriculture .Geograph. Rev. 61(4): 519-529.
- Institute of Agricultural Research and Training (IART) (2000). The Impact Assessment Report of proven Technologies N.A.R.P. report.
- Iwueke CC (1987). Farmer related factors influencing the Adoption of Agricultural Innovations in Imo State". An unpublished Ph. D thesis, Dept. of Agricultural Extension UNN, Nigeria.
- Manyong VM, Smith J, Weber K, Jagtab SS, Oyewole B (1996) Macro Characterization of Agricultural System in West Africa; An overview in Resource and Crop management Research. IITA Monograph No.21 pp. 9-12.
- Ochai S (1995). An Integrated production consumption Analysis of small scale Farming Households in Kogi State. Unpublished Ph.D. Dissertation Department of Agricultural Economics, University of Ibadan.,Nigeria.
- Ogunfiditimi TO (1983). A new approach to food Distribution in Nigeria Nutrition and food policy and Strategic Studies pp. 105-108.
- Ogunsumi LO (2004) Analysis of Sustained use of Agricultural Technologies on Farmers' Productivity in Southwest Nigeria, Unpublished Ph. D. Thesis, Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria.
- Ogunsumi LO, Ewuola S.O.(2005). Adoption Behavior of Farmers in Southwest, Nigeria: The Case of Soybean Farmers. J. J. Law Econ. Central Euro. Agric. 6(4):421-432 http://www.agr.hr/ jcea/
- Olayide SO (1980). Characteristic, problems and significance of farmers. Nigerian small farmers Problems and Prospects in Integrated Rural development. Edited by S. O. Olayide et al. Centre for Agricultural and Rural Development (CARD). University of Ibadan Nigeria pp. 1-15.
- Townsen (1998). Technology for sustainable Agriculture .www. fgcu.edu < mail; to: townsen @peganet.com>
- Weischet A O Cariedes C (1993). Research Transaction cost economics the governance of contractual relations. J. Law Econ. 22 (2): 233-261.