Full Length Research Paper

Usefulness of probiotics in civilizing water quality and bacterial flora in fish ponds

Tanmay Lakshya and Raaz Viraj

Department of Zoology, Fish Biology and Fishery Sciences, Gauhati University, Guwahati 781014, Assam, India.

Accepted 29 December, 2013

Fish culture aimed at high production through intense culture practices often leads not only to the alteration in water quality but also to severe disease problems. The accumulation of organic wastes deteriorates water quality and encourages the proliferation of pathogenic microorganisms. To avoid these problems, probiotics are used as bioremediation tool. The present study was aimed to know the changing patterns of beneficial and pathogenic bacterial loads and water quality in fish ponds treated with pond probiotics. For this study, three earthen fish ponds, were cultured Pangasius sutchi, Catla catla and Labeo rohita, located in Balliparru near Machilipatnam in Krishna District, Andhra Pradesh, India were selected and studied for a culture period during 2009 to 2010. Two ponds were treated with probiotics having Nitrosomonas (1.62 kg/ha) and Nitrobacter (0.82 kg/ha) species and one pond was kept as control. During the culture period (August 2009 to July 2010), water quality parameters and the populations of total heterotrophic bacteria (THB), beneficial bacteria (Nitrosomonas and Nitrobacter species) and pathogenic bacteria (Pseudomonas) in water were analyzed. It was observed that in treated ponds, THB and beneficial bacterial load increased and pathogenic *Pseudomonas* load decreased. The bacterial population changed during every fortnight sampling of the culture period. The changing patterns of different bacteria in treated and control ponds were compared and discussed. The concentrations of ammonia, nitrite and phosphates were observed to be low in treated ponds than in the control pond. The present study revealed that the probiotics are instrumental in maintaining good water quality, higher beneficial and lower pathogenic bacterial loads in fish ponds.

Key words: Probiotics, fish ponds, physico-chemical parameters of water, total heterotrophic bacteria, nitrifying bacteria.

INTRODUCTION

Aquaculture has emerged as an important food producing sector in many countries. Like other industries, it constantly requires newly emerging technologies to increase the production yield. Application of probiotics in aquaculture is such a technology and research on probiotics as bioremediation and biocontrol agents is increasing with the demand for eco-friendly aquaculture (Maeda et al., 1997; Moriarty, 1997; 1998; Gatesoupe, 1999; Prabhu et al., 1999; Gomez-Gil et al., 2000; Verschuere et al., 2000; Rao, 2001; Shariff et al., 2001; Irianto and Austin, 2002; Balcázar, 2003; Ali, 2006; Lakshmanan and Sounderpandian, 2008; Sreedevi and Ramasubramanian, 2010; Dimitroglou et al., 2011; Iribarren et al., 2012).

In aquaculture ponds, the quality of water during the culture period will deteriorate mainly due to the accumulation of metabolic wastes, decomposition of unutilized feed and decay of biotic materials. Probiotic bacteria directly uptake or decompose the organic matter or toxic material in the water, thus improving the water quality. In recent years, there is a great interest in the use of probiotic bacteria in aquaculture to

^{*}Corresponding author. E-mail: Tanmayshya@yahoo.com

Month and Year	Organic Fertilizers				Inorganic Fertilizers				Lime		
	Quality	Quantity (kg/ha) Pond			Quality	Quantity (kg/ha) Pond			Quantity (kg/ha) Pond		
		Aug 2009	Poultry manure	1750	2000	2000	Super	4.5	4.5	2.5	
Sep 2009	Compost	1675	1800	1800	phosphate	6.5-	6.5	3.5			
Oct 2009	Poultry manure	200	200	745	Super	-					
Nov 2009					phosphate						75
Dec 2009											
Jan 2010	Poultry manure	975	975	820	Super						75
Feb 2010	Cattle dung	1175	1175	1175	phosphate						25
Mar 2010											
Apr 2010	Poultry manure			250							
May 2010	Poultry manure		1975	1825							
Jun 2010	Poultry manure	1975									

Table 1. Particulars of inputs used in Pond A, B and C.

improve water quality, inhibit pathogens and promote the growth of farmed fish (Verschuere et al., 2000) . The use of probiotics which control pathogens through a variety of mechanisms is viewed as an alternative to antibiotics and become a major field in the development of aquaculture. Keeping in view of the beneficial effects of probiotics, some enthusiastic farmers are recently using commercially available probiotics in their fish ponds. However, there is no documented evidence on the efficacy of these probiotics on the ecological processes in fish ponds of this region and so far no attempt has been made to study the role of probiotics in improving water quality and bacterial flora in fish ponds. Hence, the present study was undertaken to know the water quality and the changing patterns of beneficial and pathogenic bacterial loads in fish ponds treated with pond probiotics and compare the results with those of the untreated pond.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fish and fish ponds

Three fish ponds located in a farm at Balliparru near Machilipatnam (latitude 16° 09' N, longitude 81° 12' E) in Krishna district, Andhra Pradesh, India were chosen for the present study. The earthen ponds are designated as Pond A, B and C. In the three ponds *Pangasius sutchi*, *Catla catla* and *Labeo rohita* were stocked in the ratio of 4:1:1.5 at the rate of 20,785 fingerling/ ha. The fish were fed with supplementary feed mostly with deoiled rice bran, groundnut oil cake and slaughter waste at the rate of 2% body weight of fish per day. Prior to and after the release of fish, all the ponds were treated with organic manures such as poultry manure, compost and cattle dung, and inorganic fertilizers such as superphosphate. The

particulars of fertilizers and lime used in different months in three ponds are given in Table 1.

The study was carried out for a culture period from August 25, 2009 to July 23, 2010. The extent (water spread area) of Pond A, B and C is 3.22, 3.22 and 3 ha, respectively. The depth of the ponds ranged between 1.2 and 1.5 m. Pond A and B were treated with probiotics whereas Pond C was kept as control pond, that is, without probiotics treatment.

Probiotics

In this study, commercially available probiotics with *Nitrosomonas* species and *Nitrobacter* species manufactured by K.C.P Sugar and Industries Corporation Limited, Vuyyuru, Krishna district, Andhra Pradesh, India were used. Probiotics were used in Pond A and B at monthly intervals with *Nitrosomonas* probiotics at 1.62 kg/ ha and *Nitrobacter* probiotics at 0.82 kg/ ha.

Water samples

In the present study, physico-chemical parameters of water and bacterial loads were studied at fortnight intervals by collecting water samples in between 8 and 10 a.m. The physico-chemical parameters such as temperature, transparency, dissolved oxygen, pH, total alkalinity, total hardness, total dissolved solids, nitrite, nitrate, ammonia, phosphorus and iron of water were estimated by following the methods suggested in Golterman and Clymo (1969); Wetzel and Likens (1979); APHA (1999). Primary productivity was determined using the light and dark bottle method described by Vollenweider (1969).

Bacteriological analysis

Bacteriological analysis was carried out for the isolation and

-	Ponc	l-A	Pon	d-B	Pond-C		
Physico-chemical parameters	Mean ± SD Range		Mean ± SD Range		Mean ± SD	Range	
Air temperature(°C)	27.02±3.09	20.50-30.20	26.96 ±3.03	20.90- 31.10	29.06±1.99	25.50-33.00	
Water temperature(C)	29.17±2.32	25.30-31.40	29.66±2.11 25.20-32.70		29.93±1.35	27.60-32.50	
Secchi disc transparency(cm)	29.19±1.48	26.10-32.20	28.36±2.15	24.50-31.20	29.37±1.97	26.50-34.20	
Dissolved oxygen(mg/l)	4.80±0.81	3.20-6.20	4.09±1.30	2.40-6.40	3.50±0.88	2.00-5.20	
рН	7.87±0.43	7.29-8.88	7.92 ± 0.35	7.50-8.56	7.92±0.40	7.44-8.65	
Total alkalinity(mg/l as CaCO3)	148.70±24.68	110-192	150.7±18.13	120-180	134.50±24.50	100-184	
Total hardness(mg/l as CaCO3)	153.05±30.79	100-220	164.2±18.51	130-195	147.75±21.97	105-190	
Conductivity(mS)	14.55± 6.45	6.72-26.10	13.76±5.78	7.06-26.60	8.53±3.58	3.51-15.80	
Total dissolved solids (ppt)	12.48±6.47	4.28-23.60	12.05±6.39	5.24-24.90	7.64±4.37	2.41-16.70	
Ammonia-N(mg/l)	0.41±0.07	0.28-0.53	0.38±0.060.	0.27-0.50	0.51±0.080.0	0.38-0.73	
Nitrite-N(mg/l)	0.04±0.05	0.08-0.25	03±0.01	0.09-0.07	7±0.02	0.02-0.11	
Nitrate-N(mg/l)	0.26±0.09	0.10-0.45	0.26±0.09	0.12-0.46	0.21±0.07	0.09-0.41	
Orthophosphates(mg/l)	0.41±0.09	0.25-0.59	0.45±0.06	0.32-0.58	0.56±0.09	0.42-0.75	
Iron(mg/l)	0.28±0.06	0.18-0.41	0.27±0.05	0.19-0.36	0.39±0.11	0.10-0.59	
Gross Primary Productivity (gc/m /h)	0.19±0.19	0.07-0.87	0.14±0.11	0.05-0.40	0.09±0.15	0.02-0.75	
Net Primary Productivity (gc/m ³ /h)	0.09±0.05	0.02-0.24	0.06±0.10	0.02-0.37	0.09±0.07	0.02-0.26	
Community respiration (gc/m ³ /h)	0.08±0.13	0.02-0.62	0.07±0.08	0.02-0.38	0.05±0.03	0.02-0.16	

Table 2. Physico-chemical parameters of water (Mean± SD and Ranges) in ponds.

enumeration of total heterotrophic bacteria (THB). Nitrosomonas species, Nitrobacter species and Pseudomonas species in three ponds. Samples for bacterial analysis were collected in well cleaned, dried and sterile bottles which were sterilized at 121 C under pressure of 15 lbs for 15 min. Column water samples were collected for the analysis of THB and Pseudomonas whereas bottom water samples for Nitrosomonas and Nitrobacter. After collection, 1 ml of the sample was transferred to sterile conical flask (150 ml) containing 99 ml of sterile distilled water and serial dilution was performed to get 10^{-1} , 10^{-2} , 10^{-3} , 10^{-4} and 10^{-5} samples. THB was enumerated by adopting the spread plate method (Chen and Kueh, 1976; Cappuccino and Sherman, 1992). From the diluents, 0.1 ml was spread in the plates and incubated in an inverted position at 37 C for 20 to 24 h. Nitrosomonas species was cultured by using Winogradsky phase-I medium whereas Nitrobacter species by Winogradsky phase-II medium. From the diluents, 0.1 ml was inoculated into the medium and plates were incubated at 28±2 C for 48 h. Pseudomonas species was cultured by using Pseudomonas base medium (Hi-medium Mumbai). From the diluents, 0.1 ml of the sample was inoculated into the medium and incubated at 37 C for 24 to 48 h. All the determinations were carried out in triplicates. Following incubation, plates containing viable colonies were used to calculate bacterial population results. The colonies were counted and expressed as cfu/ml. To study the correlations among physico-chemical parameters of water studied, simple correlation coefficients were calculated and correlation matrix has been developed using SPSS 17.0 software.

RESULTS

Physico-chemical parameters of water

The physico-chemical parameters of water such as

temperature, transparency, dissolved oxygen, pH, total alkalinity, total hardness, total dissolved solids, nitrite, nitrate, ammonia, phosphorus, iron and primary productivity were studied at regular fortnight intervals of the culture period. The values of Mean ±SD (n=20) and their ranges in three ponds are shown in Table 2.

Bacterial analysis

Total heterotrophic bacteria (THB) were observed to be high in Pond A and B and low in Pond C. The loads recorded were 5.25×10^5 to 7.80×10^5 cfu/ml in Pond A, 5.42×10^5 to 7.90×10^5 cfu/ml in Pond B and 2.05×10^5 to 4.72×10^5 cfu/ml in Pond C. Nitrosomonas and Nitrobacter loads are relatively higher in Pond A and B than in control pond whereas Pseudomonas were observed to be higher in control pond than in treated ponds A and B. Nitrosomonas loads ranged from 2.01×10^3 to 4.80×10^3 cfu/ml in Pond A, 2.25×10^3 to 4.90×10^{3} cfu/ml in Pond B and 1.24×10^{3} to 2.00×10^{3} cfu/ml in control pond and Nitrobacter loads in Pond A, B and C ranged from 2.20×10^3 to 4.95×10^3 , 2.10×10^3 to 4.15×10^3 and 1.10×10³ to 2.15×10³, respectively. *Pseudomonas* loads in Pond A and B ranged from 1.00×10⁵ to 2.96×10⁵ cfu/ml and 1.02×10^5 to 2.9×10^5 cfu/ml and in control pond from 2.36×10⁵ to 7.25×10⁵ cfu/ml. Bacterial populations such as total heterotrophic bacteria, Nitrosomonas, Nitrobacter and Pseudomonas from three ponds are shown in Figure 1(a-d). The relative bacterial loads during the culture

Α





Figure 1. Bacterial populations in ponds A, B and C.

period in the three ponds are shown in Figure 2 (a-d).

DISCUSSION

In intensive and semi-intensive aquaculture practices, high stocking densities of fish along with intense feeding and fertilization often leads to the deterioration of water quality and proliferation of pathogens. These are the major constraints in fish culture. In the present study, water quality parameters of the ponds treated with probiotics were observed to be good which might be because of the various roles played by the microbes. During the period of observation, the amplitude ofvariation in water temperature was very narrow (Table 2) which is considered to be a characteristic of tropical



waters (Rahman et al., 1982; Dewan et al., 1991; Wahab et al., 1996; Ahmed et al., 2000). The water temperature in general follows with the pattern of fluctuation of air temperature and showed a strong positive correlation in Pond A (r = 0.616; P < 0.01), Pond B (r = 0.857; P<0.01), and Pond C (r = 0.639; P<0.01). Transparency of water showed an inverse correlation with temperature in Pond A (r = -0.090; P>0.05), Pond B (r = -0.509; P<0.05) and Pond C (r = -0.443; P>0.05). Transparency of water also correlated negatively with dissolved oxygen in Pond A(r = -0.457; P<0.05) and C (r = -0.326; P>0.05). These relations are interdependent and could be explained by the fact that the high temperatures are conducive to the development of phytoplankton. With the increase in the densities of phytoplankters, a corresponding decrease in the secchi disc transparency and increase in dissolved oxygen content was observed. Hence, a negative

correlation between dissolved oxygen and transparency of water was discernible. Relatively higher levels of dissolved oxygen in Pond A and B might be due to the beneficial effect of probiotics which favored the mineralization of organic matter. pH of water has been found to be positively correlated with dissolved oxygen in Pond A (r = 0.591; P<0.05), Pond B (r = 0.485 P<0.05) and Pond C (r = 0.466 P<0.05). Due to intense photosynthetic activity, the free carbon dioxide (if present) or the carbon dioxide of bicarbonate is drawn out by the algae; this results in the decrease of free CO2 and bicarbonate and increase of carbonate. It is well established that the carbonates increase the pH of water on hydrolysis. Simultaneously, the photosynthetic release of oxygen increase the dissolved oxygen content in the water. The relationship of pH, free carbon dioxide, carbonates and bicarbonates has been discussed by

many workers (Seenayya, 1971; Rao, 1972). It was generally noticed that the higher pH values coincided with the period of greater photosynthetic activity of abundant phytoplankton which received support from the relation between primary productivity and pH (r = 0.600 P < 0.01).

Total hardness showed a positive correlation with total alkalinity in Pond A (r = 0.853; P<0.01) and Pond B (r = 0.644; P<0.01). According to Boyd (1982), the total hardness is usually related to total alkalinity as the cations of hardness and anions of alkalinity are normally derived from the solution of carbonate minerals. Arce and Boyd (1980) also observed a high positive correlation between total alkalinity and total hardness in pond waters. Conductivity and total dissolved solids represent the mineral content of water and hence they exhibit significant positive relationship in Pond A (r=0.933; P<0.01), Pond B (r = 0.958; P<0.01) and Pond C (r = 0.900; P<0.01) . Higher values of conductivity were noticed in the month corresponding to the organic fertilizer application in the ponds. This could be explained by the fact that poultry manure has high soluble inorganic salts and is responsible for the increase of conductivity in the water (Ray and David, 1969).

The nutrients, nitrate-N, nitrite -N, and ammonia-N in the pond water did not follow the same pattern of distribution and the variations may be due to biological or chemical reactions or combination of these two. The application of probiotics, fertilizers, supplementary feeds and metabolites released by the fish might also be responsible for such variations. During the study period. the levels of ammonia and nitrites were relatively low in Pond A and B than in Pond C. This might be because of the use of nitrifying bacteria in the form of probiotics. As these bacteria are known to convert ammonia to nitrite and then to nitrate, low levels of ammonia and nitrite observed in Pond A and B compared to Pond C can be supported. A significant positive correlation could be discernible between nitrate-N and dissolved oxygen in Pond A (r=0.0.462; P<0.05) and Pond B (r = -0.633 P <0.05). The oxidation of various forms of inorganic nitrogen in the well oxygenated surface water might have resulted in the increased concentration of nitrates. A negative correlation has been observed between ammonia and temperature in Pond A (r = -0.448; P<0.01), Pond B (r = -0.373; P>0.05) and Pond C (r = -0.102; P>0.05). This indirect correlation may be explained by the fact that during high temperatures, the intense photosynthetic activity of phytoplankters release oxygen into the pond waters and as such a considerable amount of ammonia might have been converted to oxidized forms in addition to the activity of nitrifying bacteria.

In fish ponds, mineralization of fertilizers, feed wastes and excreta often increases the ammonia concentration, which is harmful to fish above 0.1 mg/l. Hence, it is a critical water quality parameter to be maintained at optimal level in fish ponds. The nitrogen cycle involves the oxidation of ammonia to nitrite by bacteria of the genus *Nitrosomonas* and the subsequent oxidation of the nitrite to nitrate by *Nitrobacter*. Inputs of ammonia cannot be eliminated from the water body. However, it can be converted to non-toxic nitrate by nitrifying bacteria which can be accomplished by means of probiotic treatment. The levels of ammonia and nitrites were relatively low in Pond A and B than in Pond C. This might be because of the use of nitrifying bacteria in the form of probiotics. As these bacteria are known to convert ammonia to nitrite and then to nitrate, low levels of ammonia and nitrite observed in Pond A and B compared to Pond C can be supported.

Phosphorus occurs mainly in the form of phosphate and this element is recognized to be the most important critical factor in the maintenance of pond fertility (Boyd, 1982). lt was observed that orthophosphate concentrations were maintained at low levels in probiotic treated ponds than in control ponds. Rao (2001) reported that the probiotic bacteria utilize phosphate for their body metabolic activities and thus diminish this nutrient in pond waters. Orthophosphates showed a significant positive relationship with dissolved iron in Pond A (r = 0.452; P<0.05), Pond B (r = 0.482; P<0.05) and Pond C (r = 0.485; P<0.05) . Seenavya (1971) also observed that phosphate and iron were in some way related to each other.

Primary production or the organic carbon fixed through photosynthetic activity by phytoplankton helps in understanding the productive function of aquatic system (Odum, 1971). The organic matter synthesized by primary producers is utilized by the consumers inhabiting the system. The well maintained nutrient levels in probiotic treated ponds A and B support the abundant primary producers and then the next trophic levels like zooplankton and fish. Primary productivity showed positive relationship with dissolved oxygen and pH in the ponds studied.

Probiotic bacteria are known to improve water quality in many ways. Heterotrophic bacteria necessitating some organic sources of carbon in addition to inorganic forms for growth have a significant role in the decomposition of organic matter and production of particulate food materials from dissolved organics (Jana and De, 1990). There are many studies on the relationship between heterotrophic bacteria and water quality (Guo et al., 1988; Fang et al., 1989; Liu et al., 1992). In the present study, total heterotrophic bacteria (THB) were observed to be high in Pond A and B and low in Pond C. The loads recorded were 5.25×10^5 to 7.80×10^5 cfu/ml in Pond A, 5.42×10^5 to 7.9×10^5 cfu/ml in Pond B and 2.05×10^5 to 4.72x10⁵ cfu/ml in Pond C. The high levels of heterotrophic bacteria in Pond A and B might be due to 106 Tanmay and Viraj

the consumption of more organic matter as their sources of carbon and improve water quality. Heterotrophic bacteria are known to utilize nitrogen rich substances and release ammonia or ammonium salts (Jana and Barat, 1983). However, as Pond A and B are treated with nitrifying bacterial probiotics, which convert ammonia to nitrates, relatively low levels of ammonia compared to control pond were observed.

In the present study, *Nitrosomonas* loads in Pond A, B and control ponds ranged from 2.01×10^3 to 4.80×10^3 cfu/ml, 2.25×10^3 to 4.9×10^3 cfu/ml and 1.24×10^3 to 2.00×10^3 cfu/ml, and *Nitrobacter* loads from 2.20×10^3 to 4.95×10^3 , 2.10×10^3 to 4.15×10^3 and 1.10×10^3 to 2.15×10^3 , respectively. As the Ponds A and B are treated with probiotics having *Nitrosomonas* and *Nitrobacter* species, their abundance in these ponds can be explained. These bacterial loads were also observed to be gradually increasing by the end of the culture period (Figure 2b and 2c) . As these bacteria are known to convert ammonia to nitrite and then to nitrate, low levels of ammonia and nitrite observed (Table 2) in Pond A and Pond B compared to Pond C can be supported.

Several species of Pseudomonas and Aeromonas are pathogenic and reported to cause various kinds of skin ulcerations including the most dreaded Epizootic ulcerative syndrome (EUS), albinoderma, erythroderma, tail and fin rot and hemorrhagic septicemia (Das, 2004). In the present study, *Pseudomonas* loads in Pond A and B ranged from 1.00×10^{-5} to 2.96×10^{-5} cfu/ml and 1.02×10^5 to 2.90×10^5 cfu/ml and in control Pond from 2.36×10^5 to 7.25×10^5 cfu/ml (Figure 2d). It was observed that Pseudomonas loads showed changing patterns from sampling to sampling with decreasing trend to the end of the culture period. Customarily, the indigenous bad bacteria can cause diseases but when the probiotics are introduced into the ponds, the new comers eliminate the pre-existing bacteria out of the nutrients queue. Thus, the old/bad bacteria, never having had to compete for food, cannot keep pace with the aggressive probiotics. Further, probiotic bacterial excretions make the pond medium less inhabitable for bad bacteria. Not only do probiotic bacteria have terrific appetites; they excrete exo-enzymes as a natural byproduct of their metabolic activity. These enzyme excretions infuse and spread throughout the pond medium, changing its chemistry and destroying bacteria. Thus, the low levels of Pseudomonas in probiotic treated Pond A and B can be explained. The general conclusion obtained from the present study is that the probiotics played a major role in maintaining optimum water quality parameters especially dissolved oxygen, ammonia, nitrite, nitrate and phosphates throughout the culture period. It is clear from the bacterial load data that the Nitrosomonas and Nitrobacter species were dominated and suppressed the Pseudomonas species in the probiotic treated ponds

when compared to the control pond. Hence by using probiotics, it can be possible to improve water quality and prevent the occurrence of bacterial diseases in fish ponds.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

One of the authors, K. Sunitha is thankful to the UGC for providing financial assistance under the Rajiv Gandhi National Fellowship. Authors are grateful to the authorities of Acharya Nagarjuna University for providing necessary laboratory facilities.

REFERENCES

- Ahmed ZF, Wahab MA, Miah MAA, Azi ME (2000). Investigation of water quality parameters in carp nursery under two different pond conditions. Pak. J. Biol. Sci. 3:1349-1351.
- Ali F (2006). The use of probiotics in shrimp aquaculture. FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol. 48:149-158.
- APHA (1999).Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. 21st Ed. Amer Publ. Hlth. Assoc. Inc. New York.
- Arce RG, Boyd CE (1980). Water chemistry of Alabama ponds. Auburn University Agricultural Experiment station, Auburn University, Alabama, Bulletin 522, p. 35.
- Balcázar E (2003). Anti-infectious immune effectors in marine invertebrates: Potential tools for disease control in larviculture. Aquaculture 227:427-438.
- Boyd CE (1982). Water quality management for pond fish culture (Developments in Aquaculture and fisheries Science, 9), Elsevier Science Publishing Company Inc. New York. p. 318.
- Cappuccino JG, Sherman N (1992). Microbiology. The Benjamin / Cummings publishing Company, Inc., California.
- Chen KY, Kueh SW (1976). Distribution of heterotrophic bacteria related to some environmental factors in Tolo harbor. Int. J. Ecol. Environ. Sci. 1:47-58.
- Das BK (2004). Bacterial diseases of fish and their control. In: Swain P. Sahoo PK, Das BK Disease diagnosis and Health Management of freshwater fish and Shell fish. Bhubaneshwar: Central Int. Freshw. Aquacult. pp. 45-52.
- Dewan S, Wahab MA, Beveridge MCM, Rahan MH, Sakar BK (1991). Food Selection, electivity and dietary overlap among planktivorous chinese and IMC fry and fingerlings grown in extensively managed, rain-fed ponds in Bangladesh. Aquaculture Fish. Manag. 22:277-294.
- Dimitroglou A, Merrifield DL, Carnevali O, Picchietti S, Avella M, Daniels C, Güroy D, Davies SJ (2011). Microbial manipulations to improve fish health and production –a Mediterranean perspective. Fish shellfish Immunol. 30:1-16.
- Fang X, Guo X, Wang J (1989). The preliminary studies on the heterotrophic bacteria in high-yield fish ponds. Fish. J. 13(2):101-109.
- Gatesoupe FJ (1999). The use of Probiotics in aquaculture. Aquaculture 180:147-165.
- Golterman HL, Clymo RS (1969).Methods for analysis of freshwaters. IBP Hand Book No. 8, Blackwell Scientific Publ. Oxford. pp. 172.
- Gomez-Gil B, Roque A, Turnbell JE (2000). The use and selection of probiotic bacteria for use in the culture of larval aquatic organisms. Aquaculture 191:259-270.
- Guo X, Fang Y, Wang J (1988). The preliminary studies on the bacteria types in the fish ponds applied with four kinds of animal manure and the effects upon ecosystem and yield. J. Chinese. Acad. Fish Sci. 1(1):18-28.
- Irianto A, Austin B (2002). Use of probiotics to control furunculosis in rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus myskiss* (Walbaum). J. Fish. Dis.

25:333-342.

- Iribarren D, Daga P, Moreira MT, Feijoog (2012). Potential environmental effcts of probiotics used in aquaculture. Aquacult. Int. 20(4):779-789.
- Jana BB, Barat S (1983). Development of heterotrophic and ammonifying bacterial populations as affected by the fish *Clarias batrachus* (Lim.) under different experimental conditions. ActaHydrochemica. Hydrobiologia 5:569-576.
- Jana BB, De UK (1990). Spatial and seasonal distribution of heterotrophic bacteria in pond water and sediments under different management practices. International Revue derGesamienHydrobiologia 75:639-648.
- Lakshmanan R, Soundarapandian P (2008). Effects of commercial probiotics on large scale culture of black tiger shrimp *Penaeues* monodon (Fabricius). J. Microbiol. 3(3):198-203.
- Liu G, Bao W, Liu Z (1992). The growth and seasonal changes of bacteria biomass in fish ponds. Fish. J. 16(1):24-31.
- Maeda M, Nogami K, Kanematsy M, Hirayama K (1997). The concept of biological control methods in aquaculture. Hydrobiologia 358:285-290.
- Moriarty DJW (1997). The role of microorganisms in aquaculture ponds. Aquaculture 151:333-349.
- Moriarty DJW (1998). Control of luminous Vibrio species in penaeid aquaculture ponds. Aquaculture 164:351-358.
- Odum EP (1971). Fundamentals of Ecology. (3rdEdn.)W.B. Saunders Co. Philadelphia and Toppan Co. Ltd. Tokyo, Japan. 547.
- Prabhu NM, Nazar AR, Rajagopal S, Ajmal Khan SA (1999). Use of probiotics in water quality management during shrimp culture. J. Aquacult. Trop. 3:227-236.
- Rahman MS, Chowdhury MY, AninualHaque AKM, Haq MS (1982). Limnological Studies of three ponds, Bangladesh J. Fish. pp. 2-5.
- Rao AV (1999). Studies on some ecological and pathological aspects in shrimp farms of *Penaeus monodon* (Fabricius) at Vakapadu, Visakhapatnam District, Ph. D. Thesis.Andhra University, India. p. 218.
- Rao VS (1972). An ecological study of three freshwater ponds of Hyderabad - India. II. The environment. Hydrobiologia 39:351-372.

- Rao AV (2001). Bioremediation technology to maintain healthy ecology in aquaculture ponds. Aquacult. Technol. pp. 80-84.
- Ray P, David A (1969). Poultry manure as potential plankton producer in fish nurseries. *LABDEV* J. Sci. Tech., Kanpur, India. J. Fish. 78(3):229-231.
- Seenayya G (1971). Ecological studies in the plankton of certain freshwater ponds of Hyderabad, India. I. Physicochemical complexes. Hydrobiologia 37(1):7-13.
- Shariff M, Yusoff FM, Devaraja TN, SrinivasaRao PS (2001). The effectiveness of a commercial microbial product in poorly prepared tiger shrimp, *Penaeus monodon* (Fabricius) ponds. Aquacult. Res. 32:181-187.
- Sreedevi PR, Ramasubramanian V (2010). Biocontrol of ammonia pollution in the rearing water of fish by inducing a heterotrophic bacterial based food chain in the medium. Aquacult. Int. 19:789-796.
- Verschuere L, Rombaut G, Sorgeloos P, Verstraete W (2000). Probiotic bacteria as biological control agents in aquaculture. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 64:655-671.
- Vollenweider RA (1969). A manual on methods for measuring primary production in aquatic environments. IBP Handbook No.12, FA. Davis Co., Philadelphia, Penn. 213 pp.
- Wahab MA, Azim ME, Haque MM, Ahmed ZF (1996). Effects of frequency of Fertilization water quality and fish yield. Prog. Agric. 7:33-39.
- Wetzel RG, Likens GE (1979). Limnological Analyses.W.B. Saunders Company, Philadelphia, PA. p. 357.